CITY OF OLIVETTE
STRATEGIC PLAN APPENDICES:
REDEVELOPMENT IMPLEMENTATION POLICIES AND TOOLS

The appendices to the City of Olivette Strategic Plan include a number of tools and policies to be used for plan implementation. These documents are each ratified separately from the Plan to enable the greatest flexibility for revisions and updates over time. These documents include the following:

- Redevelopment Project Management Process Policy
- Economic Development Tools Evaluation Checklist
- Eminent Domain Policy
- Land Development Regulation Revision Recommendations
- Priority Redevelopment Action Plan
CITY OF OLIVETTE
REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT MANAGEMENT PROCESS

A. INTRODUCTION
The adopted Olivette Strategic Comprehensive Plan – Dynamic Sense of Place establishes a number of goals and objectives to be accomplished through private redevelopment projects as well as a variety of public improvements. To achieve these goals, the City intends to use a wide range of economic development tools. However, in using these powerful tools, the City needs to be assured that the resulting redevelopment projects will, in fact, achieve the goals and objectives of the plan. Accordingly, included in this process are a set of criteria that the City uses to evaluate a proposed development project to determine the need for economic development tools to facilitate the project, as well as a process for ensuring that the project, once completed, provides the desired level of community benefits and is consistent with the principles established in the Strategic Comprehensive Plan.

The adopted Strategic Comprehensive Plan places a significant emphasis on the type and quality of private development projects and related public improvements. While elimination of blight and a higher utilization of property along Olive Boulevard are important, the scope, scale, intensity and design of new development are equally important as is the need to make significant improvements in the public realm such as utilities, roads, sidewalks, bike paths, streetscape and public gathering areas. As a result, the evaluation of redevelopment proposals must be comprehensive in nature, taking into account not only the strict financial terms of the project, but also the character and quality of design of the site, buildings and related public amenities. For the purpose of this document, the term “developer” may refer to either a land developer or a business owner seeking to relocate to or expand in Olivette.

B. PROCESS GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
The City of Olivette has an Economic Development Commission (EDC) and a Planning and Community Design Commission (PCDC) to lead initiatives and make recommendations regarding the development of the community. The scopes of responsibility for both commissions are significant; thus, while there may be some overlap, there will continue to be a need to have two separate boards. At the same time, the City wishes to make a concerted effort to engage the development community as a partner in redevelopment and to streamline the development review process.

A Project Management process (PMT process) is an effective vehicle for bringing together such independent entities with broad and varying sets of skills, expertise, and experience and promoting collaborative and comprehensive decision-making amongst these groups. The PMT process described herein integrates the redevelopment-related activities of City staff, City Council, PCDC, and EDC. Staff provide professional redevelopment expertise; City Council serves as the ultimate decision-making body who keeps the community’s goals, vision, and needs foremost in mind; the PCDC provides expertise in development review and is charged with upholding the goals of the Strategic Comprehensive Plan; and the EDC offers members with private-sector perspectives complemented with the goal of forwarding the community’s...
vision. A PMT serves as the City’s primary liaison and contact with a developer and is responsible for ensuring the goals and objectives of the PMT Process are met.

Additionally, a primary goal for this process is not only to streamline the City’s review of individual redevelopment projects, but also to provide a mechanism for the City to actively participate in redevelopment by promoting initiatives focused on the seven key issues identified in the City’s Strategic Comprehensive Plan.

The process described herein is intended to meet the following objectives:

- Fully utilize the knowledge and expertise of existing boards and commissions;
- Respect and strengthen the roles and responsibilities of existing boards and commissions;
- Embrace the development community and individual developers as partners in achieving the goals and objectives of the adopted Strategic Comprehensive Plan;
- Streamline developer negotiations concerning the use of economic development tools and project quality and design;
- Provide transparency and significant opportunities for public discussion and input into all redevelopment projects; and
- Efficiently and effectively ensure that the goals and objectives of the adopted Strategic Comprehensive Plan are being met.

C. Issue-Based Project Management Process

The City’s Strategic Comprehensive Plan goal of actively participating in redevelopment is addressed in part through the formation of Issue Project Management Teams (PMTs). Each Issue PMT is established by the City Council at the point when the City is prepared to begin actively pursuing the achievable outcomes associated with each of the seven key issues described in the Strategic Comprehensive Plan.

1. Council Establishment of an Issue PMT: Consistent with approved Priority Implementation Action Plan PMTs are established for the key issues identified in the Strategic Comprehensive Plan, some of which may require more than one Issue PMT to deal with various sub-component strategies. These issues include:
   - Community Image;
   - I-170 Interchange Improvement;
   - Olive Boulevard;
   - Industrial Parks;
   - MetroLink;
   - Saints Olivette; and
   - Wi-Fi.

   Additionally, over time, new priority redevelopment issues related to the Strategic Comprehensive Plan may be identified which would be best analyzed and addressed through the formation of an issue-based PMT. An “issue” is broadly defined as an identified community need, a redevelopment site or area, or other redevelopment-
related project in which action is initiated by the City as opposed to a property owner/developer.

2. **Membership of Issue-Based PMTs**: Issue PMTs generally consist of one or more representatives of the Economic Development Commission, Planning and Community Design Commission, and City Council. Additionally, members representing other organizations (other City boards, community organizations, or neighborhood organizations for example) may be asked to participate. Further, the PMT may delegate tasks to City staff and outside experts as appropriate, such as conducting research and planning, meeting with potential partnering organizations and governments, and meeting with potential developers, just to name a few. The structure and operations of the PMT are described in Section E.

3. **Transition to a Development PMT**: When an Issue PMT identifies a developer with a project proposal consistent with the Strategic Comprehensive Plan, all of the same members may serve as the Development PMT, or a new Development PMT (with some crossover representation) may be established by the Council depending on the work load of the members.

D. **PROJECT-BASED PROJECT MANAGEMENT PROCESS**

The City’s Strategic Comprehensive Plan goal of actively participating in redevelopment is also addressed through the formation of Development Project Management Teams which are established by the City Council in response to specific development proposals.

1. **Applicable Projects**: The project-based Project Management process (PMT process) described herein is required for all proposed development projects seeking the use of one or more of the economic development tools described in Appendix A wherein some form of public assistance would be provided. The process also is required for all projects for which the City seeks a developer through either a request for proposals or request for qualifications. However, the process also may be requested by, and is strongly encouraged for, developers of any proposed project even if public assistance is not requested.

The PMT process adds real value to a project from a developer’s perspective by using an integrated, collaborative, and seamless approach to project design, economic development assistance negotiation, and regulatory approval. Through the use of project-specific Development Project Management Teams whose members represent the primary development recommending and approving bodies in Olivette, the City dedicates its time and resources to directly engage a developer as a full partner in community redevelopment. Participation in the process can enable a project to quickly move through negotiations in order to determine the feasibility of a project in a manner that minimizes the developer’s risk, time and expense.

Even in cases where a developer does not request City assistance, the PMT process still helps to ensure a coordinated and consistent development review process integrating the PCDC’s review of a development’s proposed design and land use with
the EDC’s review of market studies and other economic information provided to the City.

From the perspective of a potentially expanding or relocating business, the PMT process helps to reduce risk and uncertainty when making site-selection decisions as the process provides for early, direct contact with the ultimate decision-makers who can provide quick responses and solid direction as to what will be required to gain community support.

From the community’s perspective, the PMT process provides a highly transparent method for evaluating and deciding on the use, character, and scale of a project as well as the public costs and benefits of a project. Public discussion and input on a proposed project is a key component of project development from nearly its inception through and after its completion. Through the use of informal neighborhood meetings, a real dialogue is established between interested neighbors, the developer, and City officials. Through these discussions, all parties gain a greater understanding of a project and its impacts and are provided with an opportunity to identify and resolve problems and concerns outside of the often rigid confines of a formal public hearing.

The end result from the public’s and developer’s perspectives is a project that functions better, is financially stronger, and has a high level of community and governmental support. Accordingly, nearly every type of development project will benefit from the process.

2. **Redevelopment Project Management Process Phases:** The Redevelopment Project Management Process is presented as a series of four phases beginning with initial discussions between the City and an interested developer and concluding with monitoring and evaluation of completed projects. Phase 1 concludes with an Interim Development Agreement ensuring that the City and an interested developer agree to the general Project Concept and agreement terms before proceeding with further negotiations and expenditures of time and money. Phase 2 concludes with a Final Development Agreement that includes the final project plan, project finances, project phasing, and all of the terms of the agreement including the use of economic development tools. Phase 3 entails construction and continued coordination between the City and the developer through project completion. Finally, Phase 4 provides mechanisms for monitoring a project after completion to ensure adherence to the Final Development Agreement and to address issues and/or changes to project operations over time.

**Phase 1  Negotiation of Interim Development Agreement**

The objective of Phase 1 of the Redevelopment Project Management Process is to develop an Interim Development Agreement between the City and an interested developer. A development agreement is a legal document containing the terms, responsibilities and obligations of both the developer and the City in carrying out a proposed development.

At the stage of the Interim Development Agreement, the project plan, financial projections, and general terms of an agreement are comprehensive enough to determine
whether the City and the developer wish to move forward with further negotiations, but lack the full level of detail needed for either the developer or the City to make a final financing commitment. Because such details on design and finances require significant time and expense to obtain, this stage of the process is a check point to assure the City and the developer that they have support from each other as well as from the public to proceed with more detailed negotiations.

Specific terms in most development agreements include, but are not limited to:

- The use(s), scope, and scale of the project;
- The character, quality, and design of the site and buildings;
- The type, location, quality and design of related public improvements;
- The use of economic development tools and the need and justification for same;
- The overall feasibility of the project and benefits to accrue to the community; and
- Project timing and phasing.

Figure 1 provides an outline of the City’s process used to negotiate an Interim Development Agreement. In general, the same process is used whether the City is approached by a developer with an interest in a particular site or is actively seeking a developer, in which case the process would begin at Step 1.2.

1.1 Initial Project Discussions: In cases where a developer approaches the City with a proposal, initial meetings are held with City staff including the City Manager, Public Services Director, and/or City Planner.

1.2 Presentation to City Council: If City staff believes a proposed project is consistent with the adopted Strategic Comprehensive Plan, a recommendation is made to the City Council to create a Development Project Management Team (PMT). A developer may also request the City Council create a PMT despite a staff finding that the proposed project is not consistent with the Strategic Comprehensive Plan. At the Council meeting, the name of the proposed developer, the general area of the project, and the general type of project shall be publicly disclosed.

1.3 Council Establishment of a Development PMT: Should the Council believe the proposed project is consistent with Strategic Comprehensive Plan and wish to pursue negotiations with the developer, a Development PMT shall be established consisting of one or more representatives of the Economic Development Commission, Planning and Community Design Commission, and City Council. The City may issue a request for development proposals at this point, or at any stage of the process as may be statutorily necessary or desirable.

Once established, the PMT may delegate tasks to City staff and outside experts as appropriate, such as conducting research, meeting with the developer, and reviewing developer-provided materials, just to name a few. The structure and operations of the PMT are described in Section E.
1.4 PMT-Developer Negotiations: The PMT serves as the City’s primary negotiating team for all aspects of the development agreement. Meetings are open to the public in accordance with state law, although most meetings are designed as working sessions with limited opportunities for public comment. Further, consistent with state law, City staff and/or members of the PMT may have access to proprietary business information that may be held as confidential and require the use of occasional closed sessions.

As part of the negotiations, the PMT and the developer work together to advance and refine the Project Concept and to determine any land use or zoning approvals which will need to be sought as a result. The PMT and the developer also evaluate the potential need for the use of economic development tools to facilitate the project. (Refer to Appendix A: Economic Development Tools for a description of the types of tools available to the City.) The following criteria are used to evaluate the Project Concept to determine whether or not economic development tools should be considered for a proposed development:

- **Conformance to the City’s Plan:** The project advances the goals established in the Olivette Strategic Comprehensive Plan—Dynamic Sense of Place.
- **Demonstration of Need:** The developer clearly demonstrates that the project would not occur without the use of an economic development tool and that the “but-for” criteria presented for the project is based on reasonable and realistic economic projections and assumptions.
- **Demonstration of Community Benefit:** The project is projected to result in community benefits which outweigh any potential costs incurred by the community to facilitate the project. Community benefits include, but are not limited to, the achievement of goals outlined in the Strategic Comprehensive Plan. Economic, environmental, social, aesthetic, and regional benefits also are considered as part of this evaluation. Additionally, projected negative impacts of the development are considered along with the benefits to determine whether or not the public benefits exceed the public costs.
- **Adherence to Statutory Requirements:** For any specific economic development tool being analyzed, the developer must demonstrate that the project can meet all requirements established by the corresponding State enabling legislation.
- **Optimization of Public Investment:** If use of an economic development tool is deemed appropriate, the specific tool(s) to pursue is chosen based on an evaluation of the most responsible and efficient use of public resources, including a demonstration that potential financial and operational impacts on taxing districts other than the City are the minimum necessary to accomplish the project. Other benefits and drawbacks of available tools, such as the timeframe in which they can be implemented, are also evaluated.

1.4.a PCDC Input: While ultimate approval of the Interim Development Agreement rests with the City Council, the PCDC should provide input and make recommendations in this phase of the negotiation process. This
input may take the form of formal meetings of the PCDC with the developer and members of the PMT, or more informal discussions between the PCDC and its PMT representative. The PCDC and PMT will follow the statutory requirements for open meetings, as described in the “Sunshine Law,” Chapter 610 of the Revised Missouri State Statutes.

1.4.b **EDC Input**: While ultimate approval of the Interim Development Agreement rests with the City Council, the EDC should provide input and make recommendations in this phase of the negotiation process. This input may take the form of formal meetings of the EDC with the developer and members of the PMT, or more informal discussions between the EDC and its PMT representative. The EDC and PMT will follow the statutory requirements for open meetings, as described in the “Sunshine Law,” Chapter 610 of the Revised Missouri State Statutes.

1.4.c **Expert Advice**: The PMT may seek assistance from professional planners, designers, environmental consultants, construction managers, financial advisors and analysts, and/or attorneys specializing in redevelopment law; the costs of which shall be passed on to the developer. These professionals are not intended to replace those working for the developer; rather their role is to: (a) assist the PMT and developer in exploring various options; (b) guide the developer’s professionals in their more detailed work; (c) analyze and evaluate information provided by the developer in the interest of the taxpayers; and (d) advise the PMT regarding information presented by the developer and possible financing and development alternatives.

1.4.d **Neighborhood Meetings**: During the course of negotiations at least one Neighborhood Meeting, and possibly others as determined by the PMT, will be held with the developer and residents/property owners in the vicinity of the project. Depending on the nature and scope of the development, other community members outside of the project vicinity also may be invited to the meeting(s). The format and other requirements for Neighborhood Meetings are described in Section F.

1.5 **PMT Recommendation of Project Concept**: At the conclusion of the negotiations and with input from the neighborhood meeting, the PMT recommends a general Project Concept, which includes a concept master plan with general design parameters, and the economic development tools to be pursued and general terms of their use. The level of detail for the scope, scale, and design of a project at this stage is sufficient to communicate the intended general project layout, character, and use of materials, but falls short of the detail required to obtain full zoning approval. Likewise, full project pro formas, costs, and revenues are sufficient to determine basic project finances but lack the full level of detail needed for either the developer or the City to make a final financing commitment, if required.

The Concept Plan and general terms, as recommended by the PMT, are presented at a joint meeting of the EDC, PCDC, and Council for review and consideration.
The public and commission members are provided an opportunity to ask questions and provide comments at this meeting. Depending upon the complexity of the proposed project or agreement, more than one joint meeting may be necessary. Likewise, each commission may require additional time/meetings to review the presented information in order to fully advise the Council. Notification of this joint meeting is sent to the members of the public invited to the Neighborhood Meeting(s) in Step 1.4d.

1.6 Council Direction on Project Concept: After hearing input from the two commissions and the public at the joint meeting, the City Council decides to pursue one of the following options:

- Proceed with drafting an Interim Development Agreement based on the presented concept plan and general terms (Step 1.7);
- Proceed with drafting an Interim Development Agreement based on modifications to the presented concept plan and general terms (Step 1.7);
- Remand the project to the PMT for further negotiation (Step 1.4); or
- Terminate further negotiations and actions concerning the project.

1.7 PMT-Developer Preparation of Draft Interim Development Agreement: The PMT and developer work cooperatively to draft an Interim Development Agreement which reflects the concept plan and general terms presented to the City Council, including any modifications requested by the Council.

1.8 PMT Recommendation to City Council on Interim Development Agreement: The PMT presents and recommends a draft Interim Development Agreement to the City Council.

1.9 PMT Notification to Affected Taxing Districts: If the draft Interim Development Agreement includes a stipulation that the City is intending to pursue the use of economic development tools that will have a direct financial impact on one or more taxing districts, other than the City, the PMT will notify all affected taxing districts. Note: this step is begun concurrently with Step 1.7.

1.10 Taxing Districts’ Recommendations to City Council: Upon receipt of notification that the City is considering the use of an economic development tool that would potentially affect a taxing district’s revenues, the taxing district has an opportunity to provide input to the City Council prior to the City Council voting on the Interim Development Agreement.

1.11 City Council Action on Interim Development Agreement: The City Council takes action on the Interim Development Agreement, either approving the agreement as is or with modifications, thereby beginning Phase 2, or denying approval of the agreement in order to pursue further Phase 1 negotiations, or to terminate negotiations. Notification of the meeting in which action will be taken on the Interim Development Agreement will be sent to the members of the public invited to the Neighborhood Meeting(s) in Step 1.4d.
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Phase 2  Negotiation of Final Development Agreement

After the Interim Development Agreement is approved in Phase 1, the individual elements of the agreement that are to be reviewed by City commissions or special district boards are forwarded to those boards and commissions for further refinement. The outcome of Phase 2 is a Final Development Agreement negotiated between the City and the developer which reflects approvals by the City Council (and any special district boards) of land use, zoning, design, and economic development tools.

Figure 2 provides an outline of the City’s process used to negotiate a Final Development Agreement.

2.1  PCDC Implementation: The developer refines the Project Concept based on input and direction from the PCDC in order to obtain the necessary land use, zoning, and design approvals, and the PCDC makes recommendations on the same to the City Council. Because the final design of the project can affect the project finances, the PCDC typically takes action prior to the EDC; however, the PMT continues to coordinate with the developer, PCDC, and EDC to ensure actions by both boards are compatible with each other and the approved Interim Development Agreement (see Step 2.4).

2.1.a  Application for Land Use, Zoning, and Design Approvals: The developer completes any required application(s) and provides supporting materials for the PCDC’s use in recommending the requested land use, zoning, and design approvals to the City Council.

2.1.b  Evaluation of Applications for Land Use, Zoning, and Design Approvals: The PCDC evaluates submitted applications based on:

- Consistency with the Interim Development Agreement
- Conformance to the Olivette Strategic Comprehensive Plan—Dynamic Sense of Place.
- Adherence to all applicable City codes
- Input from the public hearing(s)

2.2  EDC Implementation: If the potential use of an economic development tool is proposed, then the EDC conducts a review of the full financial information provided by the developer (i.e. the development pro forma), determines the appropriateness and extent of using economic development tools to facilitate the development, drafts the documents and ordinances necessary to enact the selected tools, and recommends adoption of these documents and ordinances to the City Council.

2.2.a  Application for Assistance: If the developer anticipates the need for assistance from the City, the developer provides more precise financial information by which to illustrate a projected funding gap. If such a gap is projected, the developer submits an application for assistance for the particular economic development tool previously identified. If, at this
stage, the developer seeks to apply for a different or additional tool, he/she must return to Phase 1 for a revision of the Interim Development Agreement to reflect the potential use of the tool.

To apply for public funding assistance, a developer completes any pertinent application forms and provides the following information to the EDC for review:

- Project Plan
- Financial projections in the form of a capital and operating pro forma which demonstrates the funding gap and enables the City to analyze assumptions made about costs, revenues, and targeted rate of return. Additionally, commitments of developer equity, private financing, other funding sources, and tenant occupancy are provided at this time.
- Any other information required for the particular form of financial assistance as required by Missouri State Statute.
- Any additional information in support of the evaluation criteria described in Step 2.2.b, below.
- An agreement to compensate the City for costs incurred to review the application, including applicable professional fees and costs associated with the review.

2.2.b Evaluation of Application for Assistance: The EDC will evaluate applications for assistance based on the information provided and assumptions made in the application. In evaluating applications, it is anticipated that the EDC will retain the services of one or more independent professionals to assist in the review and offer specific recommendations and suggestions to the EDC regarding the proposal. The costs of the professional services will be the responsibility of the developer per the provisions of the Interim Development Agreement and the Final Development Agreement.

To confirm the need for and level of assistance, the project is thoroughly examined using the City’s Economic Development Tools Evaluation Checklist, which considers all of the following:

- Conformance to the City’s Plan: The project advances the goals established in the Olivette Strategic Comprehensive Plan—Dynamic Sense of Place.
- Demonstration of Need: The developer can demonstrate that the project would not occur without the use of an economic development tool. This demonstration includes:
  - Evidence that public funds will be used only as gap financing by demonstrating that every other financing mechanism has been exhausted prior to using economic development tools, including the developer’s equity, private loans, state and federal funding, and state and federal income tax credits.
Evidence of the unusual or extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the development from occurring without the use of an economic development tool. These circumstances may include, but not be limited to:

- Unusual or extraordinary development costs making the project financially infeasible;
- Need for considerable public infrastructure investment; and/or
- Need to assemble parcels and/or relocate existing occupants.

Demonstration of Community Benefit: The project should result in community benefits which outweigh any potential costs incurred by the community to facilitate the project. The degree to which a developer can make a clear commitment to creating specific public benefit(s) as part of the Final Development Agreement will also be considered favorably.

Community benefits include, but are not limited to, the achievement of goals outlined in the Strategic Comprehensive Plan. These benefits can be broadly organized into the following categories:

- **Economic.** Examples include creating or increasing public revenues in the long-term, creating jobs (specifying the wage range and/or targeted recipient of jobs such as currently unemployed residents), and increasing property values.

- **Environmental.** Both improvements to the natural environment as well as methods for mitigating the development’s impact on the environment are considered. Examples include cleanup and redevelopment of brownfields and the use of “green” building methods and materials.

- **Social.** Examples include the provision of a needed use identified by the community and targeting benefits to and expanding choices for residents in greatest need.

- **Aesthetic.** Examples include the aesthetic value of public improvements associated with the development as well as the quality and overall character of the private development.

- **Regional.** The effects that a proposed development could have to improve conditions within the region as a whole are considered.

Adherence to Statutory Requirements: For any specific economic development tool being analyzed, the developer must demonstrate that the project meets all requirements established by the corresponding State enabling legislation.

Optimization of Public Investment: The type of economic development tool used and the extent of its use will be determined based on an evaluation of the most responsible and efficient use of public resources and any other benefits or drawbacks of tools available such as the timeframe in which they can be implemented.
2.3 Establishment of and Recommendation to Special District Board: If the EDC recommends approval of an application for assistance, a special board may need to be established or reconvened to oversee the use of the chosen economic development tool. The establishment of such board is dictated by State Statute. It is the City’s intent to appoint EDC commissioners to serve on these boards whenever possible. After a board has been established, one of the representatives of the EDC serving on the board will present the EDC’s recommendation for the use of the economic development tool to the board. The board will then follow the statutorily-defined procedures to evaluate, recommend, and/or implement the use of the selected tool (the board’s powers will vary depending on the particular tool).

2.4 PMT Coordination: The PMT monitors and coordinates the EDC and PCDC implementation efforts to ensure that the overall integrity of the proposed project, in terms of its design and finances, remains consistent with the approved Interim Development Agreement.

2.5 PCDC/EDC/Special District Recommendations to City Council: The PCDC recommends the applicable land use, zoning, and design approvals to the City Council, and the EDC and any applicable special board recommend the use of economic development tools to the City Council.

2.6 Council Direction on Recommendations: After reviewing the recommendations of the PCDC, EDC, and any special boards and with input from the PMT, the City Council votes to pursue one of the following options:

- Proceed with drafting a Final Development Agreement based on the presented recommendations (Step 2.7);
- Proceed with drafting a Final Development Agreement based on modifications to the presented recommendations (Step 2.7);
- Remand any particular element to the appropriate commission or board for further review and revisions (Step 2.1, 2.2, and/or 2.3); or
- Terminate further negotiations and actions concerning the project.

2.7 PMT-Developer Preparation and Recommendation of Final Development Agreement: Based on the direction given by the City Council in Step 2.6, the PMT and developer work cooperatively to draft the Final Development Agreement. The PMT presents and recommends approval of the draft Final Development Agreement to the City Council.

2.8 City Council Action on Final Development Agreement: The City Council takes action on the Final Development Agreement and any recommended land use, zoning, design, and economic development approvals. The Council either approves the agreement as is or with modifications, thereby beginning Phase 3, or denies approval of the agreement in order to pursue further Phase 2 negotiations or to terminate negotiations. Notification of the meeting in which action will be taken on the Final Development Agreement will be sent to the members of the public invited to the Neighborhood Meeting(s) in Step 1.4d.
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Phase 3  Construction Coordination

Once a project is approved, the PMT continues to play an integral role to ensure the project is carried out as approved and to ensure the construction of the private and public improvements is properly timed and coordinated. Figure 3 provides an outline of the construction coordination process, which is further described below.

3.1 Preparation of Project Construction Plans: After receiving zoning and design approvals, the developer provides a complete set of final construction documents to the PMT. The developer also provides required design documents and permit review fees that are required for all aspects of the project.

3.2 Preparation of Public Improvement Construction Plans: Depending on the nature and scope of the public improvements and the approved financing plan, final designs may be prepared either by the developer or the City. In cases where the City does its own design, the PMT serves as the coordinator between the City’s and developer’s designers.

3.3 PMT Review and Approval of Construction Documents: Prior to the issuance of all construction-related permits by the City and all other regulatory agencies, the PMT reviews the construction plans to ensure consistency with the zoning and design approvals and all applicable City codes and as well as consistency between the private and public improvements. Permit and inspection fees shall be paid in accordance with the terms established in the Final Development Agreement.

3.4 Project Construction: The developer constructs the project in accordance with the phasing plan contained in the Final Development Agreement.

3.5 Public Improvements Construction: Depending on the nature and scope of the public improvements and the approved financing plan, either the developer or the City may perform the construction, as defined in the Final Development Agreement.

3.6 PMT Coordination: In cases where the City does its own construction, the PMT serves as the coordinator between the City’s and developer’s contractors. The PMT also monitors construction timing and progression for consistency with the approved phasing plan.

3.7 Completion of Project and Public Improvements: Construction is completed in accordance with the approved phasing plan.
Figure 3
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 Phase 4  Project Monitoring

After a project is constructed, the PMT may be reconvened from time to time to ensure that the developer is meeting any stated commitments, particularly as they relate to the use of economic development tools. The PMT is also available to work with the developer to address operational issues and/or changes to the project. Figure 4 provides an outline of the project monitoring process, which is further described below.

4.1 Financial Reporting and Monitoring: The City of Olivette seeks to optimize its use of economic development tools not only by carefully selecting projects and responsibly providing City assistance, but also by evaluating projects after construction to determine the developer’s compliance with the development agreement. As a result, during the period of time that the economic development tool is in place, the City shall have access to any properties financed in part with public funds and shall require regular financial reporting (which should be prepared by an appropriate certified professional) to enable the City to ensure compliance.

Economic development tools are used only when the long-term benefits of the redevelopment outweigh the costs incurred by using a tool. These long-term benefits are threatened, however, if the private development does not proceed as originally agreed upon with the City. Accordingly, as part of the development agreement, the City may include provisions for the recovery of any portion of assistance provided to the developer. Provisions in the Final Development Agreement can include, but are not limited to, the following:

- **Project Timeline:** Completion of construction later than agreed upon;
- **Jobs:** Creation of fewer jobs, or at lower wages than agreed upon;
- **Private Investment:** Provision of a lower level of equity in the investment than agreed upon;
- **Property maintenance:** Failure to adequately maintain the property to an agreed upon level for a specified time; and
- **Relocation:** Loss of a tenant from the development prior to an agreed upon time.

4.2 Project Operations and Changes: As needed, the PMT is reconvened to address changes in the project (such as signage, landscaping, parking, additions, etc.), or, as requested by the developer, to advise regarding a proper tenant mix or to address operational compatibility issues between the project and adjoining properties.

4.3 Public Improvement Operations and Changes: As needed, the PMT is reconvened to address changes in the public improvements (such as landscaping, parking, etc.), or to address operational issues (such maintenance, vandalism, special events, etc.).
4.4 *PMT Coordination, Review, Approval, or Recommendation:* Depending on any potential changes to the private development or public improvements identified in Steps 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 above, the PMT will determine whether the changes can be addressed at the PMT level, or if they need to be addressed by the PCDC, EDC, and/or the City Council. If the change needs to be addressed by one of these bodies, the PMT will make a recommendation regarding the change to the appropriate body(ies). In some cases this may require an amendment to the Final Development Agreement and a return to Phase 3.
Figure 4
City of Olivette Redevelopment Project Management Process
Phase 4: Project Monitoring
E. PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM

1. **Purpose:** Both Issue and Development PMTs serve a similar overall purpose of coordinating the efforts of the City Council, PCDC, EDC, and staff in promoting redevelopment projects consistent with the Strategic Comprehensive Plan.

   **Issue PMTs:** The purpose of an Issue PMT is to promote redevelopment by taking steps to prior to the review of specific redevelopment proposals. These actions vary based on the specific Issue PMT, and may include, but are be limited to: marketing; planning (e.g. concept plans, streetscape plans, etc.); formation of partnerships with other governments or organizations; engagement of property owners or neighborhood residents in redevelopment-related discussions; and recommendations for property acquisition.

   **Development PMTs:** The purpose of a Development PMT is to coordinate the land use, density/intensity, site and building design, constructing phasing, and general economic development assistance terms of a proposed redevelopment project and all related public improvements. The PMT and the processes they participate in are intended to ensure that proposed redevelopment projects are consistent with the adopted *Olivette Strategic Comprehensive Plan* and City planning and economic development policies and codes. Accordingly, a unique PMT shall be established for each proposed redevelopment project for which economic development assistance is requested. Each Development PMT shall be comprised of representatives of various groups as identified herein.

   The use of a PMT is intended to be a “value-added” process for developers seeking economic development assistance from the City. By combining the knowledge and perspectives from all of the City’s primary development-related boards and commissions at the outset of developer negotiations, the PMT process should increase the speed and probability of project approval.

2. **Membership:** Every PMT shall include a core set of members consisting of at least one representative from each of the following groups:
   - Economic Development Commission
   - Planning and Community Design Commission
   - City Council

   In general, PMTs consist of three members with one representative from each group; however, the ultimate composition of a particular PMT shall be determined by the City Council when the PMT is established. At the time a PMT is established, the City Council also shall appoint one of the members as the chairperson.

   It is the City’s intent to rotate designees to PMTs to distribute the time commitment for serving on a PMT, to broadly represent the PCDC, EDC, and Council on PMTs, and to provide an equal opportunity to commissioners and councilpersons to understand and engage in the PMT process. The City also intends to use the expertise of individual
PMT members to best fit certain issues and development types, such that the membership of each PMT takes advantage of individual member expertise

3. **Staff and Experts:** The City Manager, Director of Public Services and City Planner shall serve as staff to each PMT. As needed, the PMT will seek assistance from consulting professionals to provide guidance and alternatives and/or recommendations on various aspects of the issue/project, the cost of which may be passed on to the developer as mutually agreed by the City and the developer in the Interim and Final Development Agreements. Such professionals may include, but are not limited to:
   - Planners
   - Urban Designers
   - Architects
   - Landscape Architects
   - Engineers
   - Environmental Consultants
   - Financial Advisors and Analysts
   - Attorneys specializing in redevelopment law
   - Construction Managers

In the case of Development PMTs, the use of these professionals in not intended to be in lieu of those contracted directly by the developer, but rather to (a) provide the PMT and the developer with expert advice and input that the developer and his/her technical team can incorporate into their more detailed plans and (b) to protect the interests of the City, other taxing districts, and taxpayers.

4. **Responsibilities:** The PMT is responsible for ensuring the listed goals and objectives for the Redevelopment Project Management Process are met by carrying out those functions identified within the process. In the case of Development PMTs, the PMT shall be the City’s primary liaison and contact with a developer.

5. **Conduct of Meetings:** A simple majority of members of a PMT shall constitute a quorum. Where possible, matters shall be determined by consensus. However, where consensus cannot be reached or a vote is required, each member of the PMT shall have one vote. A simple majority of members shall be required to pass any motion/take any action. All other rules of procedure shall be as adopted by each PMT.

Consistent with the “Sunshine Law,” Chapter 610 of the Revised Missouri State Statutes, PMT meetings shall be noticed and open to the public, and the PMT shall decide if and when the public may comment at a particular meeting. Where provided by state law, certain proprietary information may be held as confidential and the PMT may meet in closed executive session to review and discuss certain information but not to take formal action. In general, the PMT is a “working group” charged with negotiating and executing development agreements. The PMT has no final approval over any aspect of a project, making only recommendations for the represented commissions and board to hold formal hearings and act upon.
Working with City staff, the PMT Chairperson shall schedule PMT meetings. City staff shall prepare and distribute agendas along with the material to be acted upon, and record the minutes. In addition, City staff shall fully document all actions taken by the PMT and maintain all PMT records.

F. NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS

1. Purpose and Objective: The purpose of a Neighborhood Meeting is to produce a project that better meets the needs and desires of both the developer and the surrounding property owners/residents/occupants. The objective of the meeting is to find areas of common ground through an increased public understanding of a proposed project and through dialogue between the developer and surrounding neighbors about a project and its impacts. The meeting is also intended to insure that the neighborhood concerns and issues are heard, actively considered, and respected as early as possible in the redevelopment process.

2. Neighborhood Area: The neighborhood area to be invited to the meeting includes all owners and residents/occupants located within 500 feet of the parcel proposed for development, and any homeowners/condominium/neighborhood/residents/merchants associations known to the City located within 1,000 feet of the parcel proposed for development. Additionally, depending on the nature and scope of the development, other community members outside of the neighborhood area may be invited to the meeting(s) as directed by the PMT.

3. Notice: At least 14 days prior to the meeting, the developer shall provide written notice of the time, place, and subject of the Neighborhood Meeting to all of the owners and occupants within Neighborhood Area as described above. The notice also shall include the developer’s name, address and phone number. Notice shall be hand-delivered or delivered by first-class mail.

4. Meeting Time and Location: The developer shall arrange for the meeting location and shall conduct the meeting. Meetings shall be held on a weekday evening and shall generally start between 5:30 and 7:30 PM in a location that is generally accessible to the affected neighborhood. Alternative times and locations may be approved by the PMT.

5. Meeting Content and Conduct: Over the course of all meetings, all of the following must be incorporated into the meetings, but not every item needs to be included in every meeting (except for the list of attendees and a meeting summary, which shall be prepared for every meeting).

At the meeting(s), the developer shall generally present proposed development plans, describe projected impacts of the development, describe plans to mitigate impacts as applicable, and facilitate a discussion of neighborhood questions and comments. The developer shall devise a format and conduct of the meeting acceptable to the PMT that provides an opportunity for all attendees to engage in a dialogue with the developer.
and provide comments both orally and in writing consistent with the purpose and objectives in having such meetings as stated herein.

Following the meeting, the developer shall submit to the PMT a list of the names and addresses of all attendees, a summary of the comments received at the meeting, and the revisions to the plan and/or mitigation measures proposed by the developer and those in attendance.

PMT and City staff participation at the meeting shall be limited to gathering information and highlighting relevant regulatory requirements as needed, but shall not be to organize, control or direct the meeting. The PMT and City staff shall not present, evaluate, or approve development proposals at a Neighborhood Meeting.

6. **Number of Meetings:** A minimum of one Neighborhood Meeting is required during Phase 1 of the PMT process, although others may be required by the PMT or at the option of the developer during this phase. During succeeding phases of the PMT process, additional meetings may be required by the PMT, EDC, or PCDC or at the option of the developer. In general, Neighborhood Meetings are considered by the City to be a more preferable way to communicate with the public and to obtain their input than formal public hearings and thus, Neighborhood Meetings are strongly encouraged throughout all phases of the PMT process, particularly as new or more detailed information becomes available.
This document describes the economic development tools available to the City of Olivette to facilitate redevelopment projects. The tools described are all enabled by State statute for use by municipalities. Step 2.2 of the City of Olivette’s Redevelopment Project Management Process describes the required application materials and evaluation criteria used to determine a developer’s eligibility for the use of these tools.

The tools described include the following:

   A. Tax Increment Financing
   B. Chapter 353: Urban Redevelopment Corporations Law
   C. Chapter 100: Industrial Development Bonds
   D. Neighborhood Improvement Districts
   E. Community Improvement Districts
   F. Transportation Development Districts
   G. Local Option Economic Development Sales Tax
   H. Sales Tax Rebates
   I. Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program
   J. Quality Jobs Program
   K. Additional Local Economic Development Tools
      K.1 Revolving Loan Fund
      K.2 Density Bonus
      K.3 Provision of Free or Reduced-Cost Land and/or Structures
      K.4 Fee Waivers

A. **TAX INCREMENT FINANCING**

   A.1 **Description**

   Tax Increment Financing (TIF) is a financing tool used to encourage redevelopment in areas where it would not otherwise occur. In Missouri, TIF enables a municipality to create a redevelopment area in which it collects 100% of any increased property taxes (collected as payments in lieu of taxes, “PILOTS”) and 50% of any increased economic activity taxes (“EATS”) above the base value of taxes collected prior to the use of TIF, for up to 23 years. Taxes are not abated or increased as a result of TIF, but rather the PILOTS and EATS are diverted to a TIF fund, which is then used to promote redevelopment and reinvestment in the redevelopment area that would not
occur without the use of TIF. Eligible costs include funding public improvements as well as funding certain private development costs, where necessary.

A.2 General Procedures for the Use of TIF

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when using TIF. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §99.800 through §99.865, the "Real Property Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act" (TIF Act) for further information.

A.2.1 TIF Commission: A requirement for the use of TIF is the establishment by a municipality of a TIF Commission. The membership and duties of the City of Olivette’s TIF Commission are delineated in Chapter 20, Article XXXI, of the Olivette Revised Code of Ordinances. The TIF Commission includes representatives from all of the affected taxing jurisdictions and makes recommendations to the City Council on the adoption of redevelopment plans and projects.

A.2.2 Redevelopment Plan, Redevelopment Area: In Missouri, the establishment of a redevelopment area for the purposes of using TIF can be initiated either by the municipality or a developer. As a result, most TIF redevelopment areas are limited to the development project area and are redeveloped by a single developer. However, this does not prevent a community from proactively seeking the redevelopment of priority areas in the community by taking the initial steps to establish a redevelopment area. A community is, however, required to include as a part of its redevelopment plan an affidavit from a developer attesting that the statutory requirements of a redevelopment plan have been met. Therefore a commitment from a developer(s) is required prior to finalizing a TIF redevelopment plan and submitting it to the State for approval.

A redevelopment plan contains a number of elements, including the delineation of the redevelopment area, which must be considered on the whole either a “blighted area,” a “conservation area,” or an “economic development area,” as defined in the TIF Act and summarized below. Other elements of the redevelopment plan include: estimated project costs, project timeline, anticipated borrowing, current equalized assessed value of property in the redevelopment area, and economic impact on all taxing bodies within the redevelopment area.

- **A Blighted Area** is characterized by the predominance of one or more of conditions which either retard the provision of housing, are economically or socially detrimental, or are a menace to public health, morals, or welfare. The conditions include: defective or inadequate street layout; unsanitary or unsafe conditions; deterioration of site improvements; improper subdivision or obsolete platting; conditions which endanger life or property by fire and other causes

- **A Conservation Area** is an improved area in which 50% or more of the structures are at least 35 years old. The area is not yet blighted but is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare and may become blighted because of at least three of the following factors:
dilapidation; obsolescence; deterioration; illegal use of individual structures; presence of structures below minimum code standards; abandonment; excessive vacancies; overcrowding of structures and community facilities; lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities; inadequate utilities; excessive land coverage; deleterious land use or layout; depreciation of physical maintenance; and lack of community planning.

- An Economic Development Area does not have conditions present to be defined as a blighted or conservation area, but redevelopment of the area would serve the public interest by: discouraging commerce, industry, or manufacturing from moving to another state; increasing employment; or resulting in preservation or enhancement of the tax base. To use TIF in an economic development area, a municipality must demonstrate that redevelopment in the area would not solely be commercial businesses which would unfairly compete in the local economy.

**A.2.3 Proposals/Bids for Redevelopment Projects:** A requirement of the TIF Act is that municipalities or their TIF Commissions establish written procedures for soliciting bids and proposals for redevelopment projects, which need to provide a reasonable opportunity for any person to submit an alternative proposal or bid. Consequently, even if a project is initiated by a developer, the City is still required to provide an opportunity for other developers to submit proposals.

**A.2.4 Eligible Expenditures:** TIF revenues may be used to fund various activities in blighted and conservation areas, which include, but are not limited to: surveys and plans; financial, planning, and other professional services; land acquisition and demolition (except in an economic development area); building rehabilitation; initial costs for an economic development area; public improvements; financing costs; another taxing district’s capital costs; relocation costs; and payments to an emergency service district requiring additional revenue to serve the new development in the redevelopment area.

Eligible expenses in an economic development area are limited to: highways, roads, streets, bridges, sewers, traffic control systems and devices, water distribution and supply systems, curbing, and sidewalks and any other similar public improvements.

**A.2.5 TIF Incentive Timing:** A redevelopment plan is composed of one or more redevelopment projects. The selected developer(s) is required to submit each redevelopment project within the plan to the TIF Commission for approval and to the City Council for adoption within ten years of the adoption of the redevelopment plan. The twenty-three year period for the use of TIF for each redevelopment project begins when the City Council adopts the ordinance approving the project.
B. **CHAPTER 353: URBAN REDEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS LAW**

**B.1 Description**

Chapter 353, the “Urban Redevelopment Corporations Law,” was established to stimulate redevelopment of blighted areas. The law enables an urban redevelopment corporation, with the approval of a municipality, to have its property taxes abated within blighted areas for twenty-five years (100% for the first ten years and 50% for the following fifteen years) in exchange for the corporation committing to implement a development plan approved by the municipality. The law also grants other powers to redevelopment corporations such as the ability to purchase or lease property, to acquire property through eminent domain (if authorized by the municipality), to issue debt, to accept government grants or loans, and to sell stock.

**B.2 General Procedures for the Use of Chapter 353**

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when using Chapter 353. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §353, the "Urban Redevelopment Corporations Law" for further information.

**B.2.1 Establishment of an Urban Redevelopment Corporation:** An urban redevelopment corporation may only be established in order to serve a public purpose. Organization of urban redevelopment corporations is dictated by §353.030. Net earnings are limited to eight percent annually of the cost of the redevelopment project. In order to use the powers available to an urban redevelopment corporation under Chapter 353, the corporation must have a City Council-approved development plan which demonstrates that the area is blighted.

**B.2.2 Tax Abatement:** Tax abatement begins when an urban redevelopment corporation first takes title to a property. For the first ten years, property taxes are paid only on the land value of the property. For the following fifteen years, 50% of the value of the real property (land and improvements) is collected. When a municipality grants the use of Chapter 353 to an urban redevelopment corporation, the City may negotiate payments in lieu of taxes (“353 PILOTS”) for all or a part of the abated taxes, which must be allocated proportionately to the overlying taxing districts.

**B.2.3 Eligible Expenditures:** The urban redevelopment corporation may make expenditures as necessary to implement the approved development plan.

**B.2.4 Property Acquisition:** An urban redevelopment corporation may acquire property through purchase, lease, gift, or otherwise. Additionally, if granted the authority by the municipality, the corporation may acquire property through the use of eminent domain.

**B.2.5 Notification of Affected Taxing Districts:** Prior to the public hearing on the proposed development plan, the taxing districts that would be affected by Chapter 353 tax abatement are to be notified of the public hearing and are to be provided with the projected impact on property tax revenues during the
abatement hearing. Representatives of the taxing districts have the opportunity to express their opinions about the tax abatement at the public hearing.

C. **CHAPTER 100: INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BONDS**

C.1 **Description**

Chapter 100, “Industrial Development,” authorizes municipalities to facilitate industrial development projects by abating property taxes on industrial development projects and issuing industrial development bonds (IDBs). The municipality must take title to the development in order for taxes to be abated, which it leases back to the business until the bond matures. The lease payment made by the business is then used to service the IDB debt.

C.2 **General Procedures for the Use of Chapter 100**

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when using Chapter 100. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §100.010 through §100.200 for further information.

C.2.1 *Issuance of Industrial Development Bonds:* The City issues the IDB which is either (1) tax exempt and therefore issued at a lower interest rate or (2) taxable but taxes for real or personal property financed by the bonds can be fully or partially abated as long as the municipality maintains legal ownership. Bonds are commonly secured with revenues generated from the industrial project, and therefore their issuance necessitates an agreement between the municipality and the company, requiring the company to make payments to the City equal to a bond’s principal and interest.

C.2.2 *Entities Eligible to Issue Industrial Development Bonds:* In addition to the authority given to cities to issue Industrial Development Bonds under Chapter 100, IDBs for projects in Olivette also may be issued by the St. Louis County Industrial Development Authority, the St. Louis County Land Clearance for Redevelopment Authority, or the Missouri Development Finance Board.

C.2.3 *Eligible Expenditures:* IDBs are issued to finance various industrial projects, including: costs of industrial plants, warehouses, and distribution facilities; research and development facilities, office industries and services facilities providing interstate commerce; agricultural processing industries; and land, buildings, fixtures and machinery.

D. **NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS**

D.1 **Description**

A Neighborhood Improvement District (NID) may be created by a municipality as a means of funding public improvements within an area that are paid for by special tax assessments to property owners in the area. The types of projects that can be financed
through an NID must be for facilities used by the public, and must confer a benefit on property within the NID.

D.2 General Procedures for the Use of a Neighborhood Improvement District

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when implementing a Neighborhood Improvement District. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §67.457 for further information.

D.2.1 Creation of a Neighborhood Improvement District: A NID is created in one of two ways, (1) by an election of voters within the proposed district who must approve the district by the same majority as required for issuing general obligation bonds (four-sevenths at the general municipal election day, primary or general elections and two-thirds at all other elections) or (2) through a petition signed by owners of at least two-thirds of the real property within the proposed district. The City must hold a public hearing, notify property owners within the district, and approve the creation of a NID after either of these requirements has been met. A NID is not a separate legal entity from the municipality in which it is created.

D.2.2 Funding Capabilities: A City may issue notes or general obligation bonds to finance improvements within a NID, which are then repaid by a special assessment on property within the NID as well as the City’s general fund, as appropriate.

D.2.3 Eligible Expenditures: Improvements made within the NID must confer a benefit for properties within the district and be approved by the municipality. Expenditures may include: property acquisition; improvements to streets, sidewalks and crosswalks; storm drainage and sanitary sewer systems; utility service connections; lighting; waterworks; parks; playgrounds; bridges; and public landscaping.

E. Community Improvement Districts

E.1 Description

A Community Improvement District (CID) is a special assessment district established as either a nonprofit or political subdivision, organized for the purpose of financing a wide range of public-use facilities and establishing and managing policies and public services relative to the needs of the district.

E.2 General Procedures for the Use of a Community Improvement District

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when implementing a Community Improvement District. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §67.1401 through §67.1571, the “Community Improvement District Act,” for further information.

E.2.1 Creation of a Community Improvement District: A CID is authorized by a municipality pursuant to a petition which must include signatures from owners
of at least 50% of the assessed value of the real property in the proposed district and represent more than 50% per capita of all owners of real property within the district. The petition must include information about the five-year plan for the district, the services the CID will provide, improvements the CID will make, and cost estimates for these services and improvements. A CID may either be a distinct political subdivision or a not-for-profit corporation, and its organizational or operational ties to the municipality are dictated by its charter.

E.2.2 **Funding Capabilities**: Special assessments on property may be may be levied and, if a CID is organized as a political subdivision, sales taxes of up to 1% may be levied on property within the CID. CIDs may also issue debt secured by the district’s revenues and/or assets.

E.2.3 **Eligible Public Improvement Expenditures**: A CID may finance new facilities or improvements to existing facilities for public use. Expenditures may be made on: public transportation, parking, and utility infrastructure and facilities; pedestrian or shopping malls and plazas; parks and landscaping; public exhibition and meeting facilities; lakes, dams, and waterways; streetscape amenities; public art; music, news, and childcare facilities; and any other useful, necessary, or desired public improvement.

E.2.4 **Eligible Public Service Expenditures**: A CID may provide a variety of public services related to: transportation; parking; music; news; child-care; security; outdoor dining; maintenance; and other services in support of business activity, economic development, and tourism. Additionally, in blighted areas a CID may contract with property owners to demolish, renovate, or rehabilitate structures and spend or loan its revenue for such purpose if the municipality determines that such an action will remediate blight and serve a public purpose.

F. **TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS**

F.1 **Description**

A Transportation Development District (TDD) may be created to develop, improve, maintain, or operate one or more transportation projects to meet the needs of the area in which the TDD is located. A TDD is a separate political subdivision and is authorized to collect revenue in a number of ways, primarily by levying a sales tax, to fund eligible transportation projects.

F.2 **General Procedures for the Use of a Transportation Development District**

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when implementing a Transportation Development District. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §238.200 through §238.275, the “Missouri Transportation Development District Act,” for further information.

F.2.1 **Creation of a Transportation Development District**: A TDD is a separate political subdivision established by a vote of registered voters/property
owners after certification by a county circuit court of a petition submitted by either (a) the governing body of any local transportation authority with jurisdiction in the proposed district; (b) at least 50 registered voters from the district or, if no eligible voters reside within the district; (c) owners of all real property in the district. If the court approves the legality of the petition and the proposed district, the court certifies the questions regarding district creation, project development, and proposed funding for approval by qualified voters (registered voters residing in the district, or if there are none, owners of real property in the district). If the creation of a TDD is not approved by a majority of voters, the TDD cannot be proposed again for another two years. A TDD may include property within one or more municipalities.

F.2.2 Funding Capabilities: The following revenues may be generated by a TDD to fund projects:

- A sales tax (between one-eighth percent and one percent), if approved by a majority of voters in the district or by unanimous petition by all property owners in the district. The tax may not be levied on the sale of motor vehicles, trailers, boats, outboard motors, or utilities (energy, water, and telecommunications).
- A special assessment, if approved by the majority of voters or by owners of all real property in the district;
- An imposed property tax of no more than one percent, if approved by at least four-sevenths of voters in the district;
- A user toll/fee, if approved by a majority of voters in district; and
- A bond or other debt secured by the district’s revenues and/or assets, excluding the value of property acquired with eminent domain or acquired by the State or agency or political subdivision thereof unless permission is granted.

F.2.3 Eligible Expenditures: Eligible projects undertaken by a TDD include street improvements (improvements to roadways, streetscape, pedestrian and bicycle pathways, signs, and signals); parking lots; bus, light rail, or other mass transit improvements and infrastructure; airport, river, or lake ports; railroad improvements; and any similar or related improvement or infrastructure. Projects need approval by the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission and, if the project will not become a part of the state transportation system, the local transportation authority.

F.2.4 Property Acquisition: In addition to its ability to purchase property, a TDD may use the power of eminent domain to acquire land, if necessary.
G. Local Option Economic Development Sales Tax

G.1 Description
Missouri authorizes municipalities to levy a sales tax of up to .5% in addition to the existing sales tax, upon voter approval, for certain economic development initiatives.

G.2 General Procedures for the Use of a Local Option Economic Development Sales Tax
The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when implementing a Local Option Economic Development Sales Tax. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §67.1305 for further information.

G.2.1 Implementation of Local Option Economic Development Sales Tax: Upon a majority voter approval, the municipality establishes the sales tax and an Economic Development Tax Board including representatives of the municipality, county, and school district to oversee project proposals.

G.2.2 Eligible Expenditures: Expenditures may be made on the following:

- At least 20% of revenues must be expended on projects relating to long-term economic development preparation including: land acquisition; installation of infrastructure for industrial or business parks; water and wastewater treatment capacity; street extensions; and for matching state or federal grants related to such long-term projects.

- Any remaining funds may be expended on: marketing; training for advanced technology jobs; grants and loans to companies for employee training, equipment, site development, and infrastructure; legal and accounting expenses associated with economic development planning; and developing value-added and export opportunities for Missouri agricultural products.

- No more than 25% of revenues may be expended on administration, including staff and facility costs.

- Funds may not be expended on retail developments unless they are located in downtown areas or historic districts (does not apply to Olivette).

H. Sales Tax Rebates

H.1 Description
As part of an agreement with a developer of a retail establishment, a City may agree to reimburse the developer, with interest, for expenditures made on public improvements to facilitate the development. The revenue committed to reimburse the developer is the incremental sales tax generated by the retail establishment.
H.2 General Procedures for the Use of Sales Tax Rebates

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when implementing Sales Tax Rebates. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §70.220, the “Corporations Law,” for further information.

H.2.1 Implementation of Sales Tax Rebates: A sales tax rebate may be negotiated between the City and the developer and documented as part of a development agreement.

H.2.2 Eligible Expenditures: The developer may be reimbursed for expenditures on planning, development, construction, acquisition, or operation of public improvements or facilities. To ensure the most efficient and responsible use of the sales tax revenue, the agreement should include provisions for the payment of prevailing wages, payment and performance bonds, and indemnification of the City.

H.2.3 Funding Limitations: The Missouri Constitution requires voter approval of pledged tax revenue by a political subdivision to repay debt for longer than one year. Consequently, sales tax rebate agreements specify that the sales tax rebate is committed on a year-to-year basis, subject to annual appropriations by the City.

I. Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program

I.1 Description

Missouri’s Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program enables municipalities of 200,000 or less with a median household income of $62,000 or less to facilitate redevelopment projects by funding public infrastructure and related costs through incremental local (at least 50%) and state (up to 50%) sales taxes for up to twenty-five years. Due to the similarity of this program to the Missouri Downtown Economic Stimulus Act (MODESA) which provides a funding mechanism for more costly projects, this program is commonly referred to as “MODESA-Lite.”

I.2 General Procedures for the Use of the Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program

The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when funding a project through the Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §99.1080 through 99.1092, the “Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program,” for further information.

I.2.1 Implementation of the Downtown Revitalization Preservation Program: To qualify for the use of this program, a municipality must approve a redevelopment plan for a redevelopment area that: (a) is located in a central business district; (b) does not exceed 10% of the municipality’s geographic
area; and (c) is designated as either a “blighted” or “conservation” area. Definitions for these areas are listed below:

- **A Central Business District** is characterized as the area at or near the municipality’s historic core that is locally known as “downtown” and within which at least fifty percent of buildings are thirty-five years or older. Additionally, the historical land use emphasis of the district must be a mix of businesses, commercial, financial, transportation, government, and multifamily residential uses.

- **A Blighted Area** is characterized by the predominance of one or more of the conditions which either retard the provision of housing, are economically or socially detrimental, or are a menace to public health, morals, or welfare. The conditions include: defective or inadequate street layout; unsanitary or unsafe conditions; deterioration of site improvements; improper subdivision or obsolete platting; conditions which endanger life or property by fire and other causes.

- **A Conservation Area** is an improved area in which 50% or more of the structures are at least 35 years old. The area is not yet blighted but is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals, or welfare and may become blighted because of at least three of the following factors: dilapidation; obsolescence; deterioration; illegal use of individual structures; presence of structures below minimum code standards; abandonment; excessive vacancies; overcrowding of structures and community facilities; lack of ventilation, light or sanitary facilities; inadequate utilities; excessive land coverage; deleterious land use or layout; depreciation of physical maintenance; and lack of community planning.

Other elements of the redevelopment plan include: estimated project costs, anticipated borrowing, evidence of financing commitments, summary of community and economic benefits resulting from the project, a study of displaced revenues resulting from the project, and an economic feasibility analysis of the project.

After approving the redevelopment plan, the municipality then submits an application for the use of funds through this program to the state Department of Economic Development. The application must demonstrate that a redevelopment project would not occur but for the use of these incremental taxes to fund associated public infrastructure and must also demonstrate that the state will experience a net fiscal benefit as a result of the use of this program.

### 1.2.2 Eligible Redevelopment Projects

Funding from this program is used to fund public infrastructure costs associated with redevelopment projects that are “major initiatives” which promote at least one of the following: tourism, cultural activities, the arts, entertainment, education, research, arenas, multipurpose facilities, libraries, ports, mass transit, museums, economic development, and conventions. For municipalities with populations of less
than 10,000, the minimum capital investment required in a project area to access funding through this program is $250,000.

I.2.3 **Eligible Expenditures:** Funds from this program may be used to fund infrastructure to support a redevelopment project, the costs of which may include, but are not limited to: studies, appraisals, surveys, plans, and specifications; professional services; property assembly; rehabilitation of public buildings or fixtures; public works construction or improvements; financing costs; a taxing district’s capital costs resulting from the development project; payments in lieu of taxes (PILOTs), and state evaluation and administration costs.

I.2.4 **Funding Limitations:** Disbursements under this program combined with other state funding or incentives may not exceed the projected state benefit from the project. Available funding is limited to state-wide appropriations; therefore if the amount of funding requested by municipalities exceeds available program funding, each municipality will receive a pro-rata share. Incremental local sales taxes collected under this program include both municipal and county sales taxes, but the county may resolve to exclude its taxes from collection as part of this program. Additionally, hotel/motel sales taxes are not included in the collection of incremental sales taxes. Lastly, projects funded through this program may not also receive funding through tax increment financing.

J. **QUALITY JOBS PROGRAM**

J.1 **Description**
The Missouri Quality Jobs Program provides tax incentives to promote the creation and retention of “quality jobs” (those which equal or exceed the county median wage and include health care benefits). These tax incentives include the retention of state withholding tax for 3-5 years (depending on the jobs’ average wage) as well as state tax credits for five years of 3% - 5% of the payroll for new jobs at technology and “high impact” businesses. Additionally, two components of this program include the provision of incentives by local taxing jurisdictions, which are described below.

J.2 **General Procedures for the Use of Sales Tax Rebates**
The following is a general description of the procedures to be followed when providing local incentives which enable or enhance business’ use of Quality Jobs state tax incentives. Refer to Mo. Rev. Stat. §620.1881, the “Quality Jobs Act,” for further information.

J.2.1 **Local Participation in Quality Jobs Program:** Local taxing authorities can enhance or enable business’ use of Quality Jobs tax incentives in two ways:

- **Job Creation: Local Incentives Bonus:** This bonus is an additional state tax credit provided to “High Impact Businesses” (those which create 100 or more jobs within two years of hiring). The bonus is an additional tax credit of 1% - 3% of the payroll of new jobs. The amount of the bonus depends on the amount of local incentives provided to the project as a
percentage of new direct local tax revenues generated from the project over ten years. The bonus is calculated as follows:

- Local incentives of 10% - 24%: 1% tax credit bonus
- Local incentives of 25% - 49%: 2% tax credit bonus
- Local incentives of 50% or more: 3% tax credit bonus

Job Retention: Local Incentives Requirement: One requirement for businesses seeking state tax incentives from the Quality Jobs Program that are retaining jobs is that the local taxing jurisdictions provide incentives equaling at least 50% of the new direct local revenues created by the project over a ten-year period. Tax incentives for job retention are intended for businesses that are considered to have a significant statewide economic impact (the business must have at least 1,000 full-time employees earning or exceeding the county median income) and pose a substantial risk of relocating from Missouri. Additionally, businesses seeking these tax credits must, in two years, either invest $70 million into the business or invest $30 million and maintain an annual payroll of $70 million during each of the years the credit is claimed.

J.2.2 Eligible Businesses: Businesses which qualify for the Quality Jobs program include for-profit and non-profit businesses other than the following types: gambling, retail trade, food and beverage, PSC-regulated, tax-delinquent, filed for bankruptcy, public entities, or religious entities.

J.2.3 Funding Limitations: Businesses receiving tax benefits from this program may not also receive tax credits or exemptions under a number of other state programs; refer to the statute for a complete list of programs.

K. ADDITIONAL LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TOOLS

The following is a list of additional economic development tools which may be implemented by a municipality, as deemed appropriate, to address a funding gap identified by a developer:

I.1 Revolving Loan Fund
A city may establish a revolving loan fund in order to provide low interest loans to developers, businesses, or property owners to fund building construction, expansion, or rehabilitation.

I.2 Density Bonuses / Reduced Parking Requirements
A city may allow a developer to build at a density above that allowed under the zoning ordinance. This tool is often used to promote affordable housing. A different approach to enabling increased building density is to reduce the required number of parking spaces for a development.

I.3 Provision Of Free Or Reduced-Cost Land and/or Structures
A city may sell land and/or structures to a developer at a cost below market value, or at no cost.

I.4 Fee Waivers
A city may choose to waive any fees that would otherwise be charged to a developer, such as an impact fee, permit fee, inspection fee, utility connection fee, or others.
A key objective of the City of Olivette Strategic Plan is for the City to play an active role in promoting and facilitating redevelopment projects in the City that forward the goals of the Strategic Plan. One important role that the City can play is to use economic development tools available to Missouri municipalities to address funding gaps in projects that aim to achieve the dynamic sense of place envisioned in the plan. These tools, which are described in Appendix A of the City of Olivette Redevelopment Project Management Process Policy, equip the City with a considerable ability to facilitate important projects that would not otherwise be possible due to unusual or extraordinary development or public improvement costs. By using these tools, however, the City carries an important responsibility to its residents, property owners, and other affected jurisdictions, to optimize its public investment by assisting projects that demonstrate significant public benefits.

This checklist has been developed to guide the City of Olivette in reviewing projects by developers seeking assistance with an identified funding gap. For the purpose of this document, the term “developer” may refer to either a land developer or a business owner seeking to relocate to or expand in Olivette.

The goal of this checklist is to provide a mechanism for ensuring a consistent review of each proposed project. It should be noted that due to the varying nature of proposed projects, not all evaluation items will apply to every project, and some evaluation items will carry greater weight in some projects than others. However, each evaluation item will be considered for its applicability in the City’s review of applications.

This tool is organized chronologically, and is used at several stages in the City of Olivette Redevelopment Project Management Process, primarily by the Economic Development Commission (EDC), but also by the Planning and Community Design Commission (PCDC) for evaluating those items that relate to project design. In its review of applications, it is anticipated that the EDC will retain the services of one or more independent professionals to assist in the review and offer specific recommendations and suggestions to the EDC regarding the proposal. The costs of the professional services will be the responsibility of the developer per the provisions of the Interim Development Agreement and the Final Development Agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Category</th>
<th>Information to Provide</th>
<th>Evaluation Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| I. CONFORMANCE WITH THE CITY OF OLIVETTE STRATEGIC PLAN | An applicant’s proposed development/new business is evaluated to determine if it forwards the goals established in the Olivette Strategic Plan which envisions the community characterized by a dynamic sense of place. Specifically, the proposed project and associated public improvements should advance one or more of the key issues identified in the plan. | Develop projects which achieve the dynamic sense of place envisioned in the Strategic Plan  
Proactively engage the public for input on the proposed development |
| Community Image/Relations | Concept plan | |
## Evaluation Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Category</th>
<th>Information to Provide</th>
<th>Evaluation Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-170 Interchange Improvements</td>
<td>Concept plan</td>
<td>▪ Develop a catalytic project to spur redevelopment west of the interchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Boulevard</td>
<td>Concept plan</td>
<td>▪ Promote a consistent community image created through public and private improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Parks</td>
<td>Concept plan</td>
<td>▪ Update the industrial parks, integrate mixed uses where appropriate, and integrate uses associated with the parks’ niche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrolink</td>
<td>Concept plan</td>
<td>▪ Support transit opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saints Olivette</td>
<td>Concept plan</td>
<td>▪ Leverage ownership for Olive Boulevard redevelopment initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
<td>Concept plan</td>
<td>▪ Implement wireless infrastructure using existing telecommunications infrastructure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## II. PROJECTION OF DEVELOPMENT SUCCESS

A developer’s experience, capabilities, and ability to make certain commitments should be examined to evaluate a proposed project’s probability for success.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Likelihood for developer to succeed</th>
<th>Portfolio of past projects and references from lenders</th>
<th>▪ Developer experience and capabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Developer creditworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development ownership</td>
<td>Developer/business commitment</td>
<td>▪ Commitment to retain ownership of the development for a certain number of years/ until it is stabilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## III. DEMONSTRATION OF NEED

Once a project’s financial information has been evaluated which indicates a financing gap, the identified gap and the associated extraordinary circumstances/costs are evaluated in order to demonstrate that the project would not occur but for the use of an economic development tool.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unusual or extraordinary development expenses</th>
<th>Evidence of the need for each unusual/extraordinary development expense</th>
<th>Such costs may include, but not be limited to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Demolish/rehabilitate of existing buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Environmental assessment and remediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Land assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Site preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusual or extraordinary public infrastructure/amenity expenses</td>
<td>Evidence of the need for each unusual/extraordinary public infrastructure/amenity</td>
<td>Such costs may include, but not be limited to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Infrastructure to address inadequate conditions or to create capacity for the new project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Public amenities important for the success of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unusual or extraordinary expenses</td>
<td>Evidence of the need for other unusual/extraordinary expenses</td>
<td>Such costs may include, but not be limited to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Business or resident relocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Elimination of blight at surrounding properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer equity</td>
<td>Commitment of the developer’s resources to the project</td>
<td>▪ Cash to expend on development construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Non-cash assets committed to the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Cash and in-kind resources to expend on development preparation activities such as design, engineering, site acquisition and preparation, and legal fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer financing</td>
<td>Evidence of financing applied for and acquired</td>
<td>▪ Private, state, and federal loans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Private, state, and federal grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Category</td>
<td>Information to Provide</td>
<td>Evaluation Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Tax increment projections | Incremental tax analysis specific to the type of economic development tool(s) being proposed | If incremental tax revenue is being proposed as a source of gap financing, the following items should be evaluated:  
- Projected/guaranteed property value and associated incremental tax revenue  
- Projected/guaranteed incremental tax revenue from other sources (e.g. sales tax, utility tax) |

### IV. DEMONSTRATION OF COMMUNITY BENEFIT

Once a project has been determined to be compatible with the City's Strategic Plan, a developer has demonstrated his/her likelihood to succeed, and a legitimate funding gap has been defined a developer must then demonstrate that the benefits of a project to the community outweigh any costs. The degree to which a developer can make a clear commitment to creating specific public benefit(s) as part of the Final Development Agreement will also be considered favorably.

#### Demonstration of Economic Benefit

The first type of community benefit that is examined is economic benefit. Developers should demonstrate how projects support each of the following economic goals for developments in the City of Olivette.

| Increase tax base and maintain tax base diversity | Analysis of a impacts to tax base and tax revenue generation from development itself and from use of any economic development tools |  
- Sales tax impacts  
- Property tax impacts  
- Utility tax impacts  
- Impacts to tax base diversity  
- Impacts on other taxing districts  
- Impacts to taxing potential of other businesses/properties in Olivette and the metropolitan region |
| Increase property values | Demonstration of the historical trend of the property(ies) valuation (e.g. rate of decline, stagnation, or rise) and projection of/commitment to future property value |  
- Historical property value trend  
- Projected property value |
| Create or retain jobs | Evidence of/commitment to each evaluation item |  
- Wages  
- Types of jobs  
- Number of jobs  
- Targeted recipients of jobs (e.g. currently unemployed local residents) |
| Attract businesses | Business plan; leakage study; and evidence of/commitment to each evaluation item |  
- Promotion of the Strategic Plan objectives  
- Contribution to business diversity  
- Impact on local and regional economies and specifically on existing businesses (e.g. avoid businesses that offer no net gain to the metro area)  
- Place within an identified local or regional economic niche (e.g. plant and life sciences)  
- Ability to catalyze additional economic development  
- Ability to attract outside investment such as from the |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Category</th>
<th>Information to Provide</th>
<th>Evaluation Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retain and expand existing businesses</td>
<td>Business plan and evidence of each evaluation item</td>
<td>▪ Potential locations/offers being pursued outside of Olivette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Circumstances which lead to business’ need for retention/expansion assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ See also “Attract businesses”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote economic sustainability</td>
<td>Business plan and any supplementary information</td>
<td>▪ Likelihood of a long-term contribution to the economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Likelihood that the business will serve the community’s needs in the long-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyze further public and private investment</td>
<td>Business plan and any supplementary information</td>
<td>▪ Potential for development to expand in the future or to catalyze additional development at other properties in the City or the region</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demonstration of Environmental Benefit**

The second type of community benefit that is examined is environmental benefit. Developers should demonstrate how projects support each of the following environmental goals for developments in the City of Olivette.

| Improve existing environmental conditions | Characterization of existing site conditions            | ▪ Redevelop brownfields |
|                                          |                                                           | ▪ Eliminate blight      |
| Promote environmental sustainability     | Development plans, architectural plans                  | ▪ Construct buildings using high-quality materials with longer amortization schedules |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Reuse existing buildings |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Use “green building” methods and materials, evaluated in the following categories: |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Materials and building design |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Site design and impacts, building orientation |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Air quality impacts     |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Water use               |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Energy use              |
| Mitigate any negative environmental impacts of the development | Development plans, architectural plans, environmental impact analysis | ▪ Mitigate impacts on: |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Soil/site               |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Air quality             |
|                                           |                                                           | ▪ Water use/quality       |

**Demonstration of Social Benefit**

The third type of community benefit that is examined is social benefit. Developers should demonstrate how projects support each of the following goals for benefiting residents of Olivette.

<p>| Develop uses desired/needed by the community | Leakage study, market study, demonstration of need/desire for particular use | ▪ Target market |
|                                            |                                                                              | ▪ Addresses a leakage |
|                                            |                                                                              | ▪ Provides more options to residents |
|                                            |                                                                              | ▪ Specific uses: |
|                                            |                                                                              | ▪ Housing: affordable housing; life cycle housing; impacts on the mix of rental and owner-occupied housing |
|                                            |                                                                              | ▪ Niche commercial and retail |
|                                            |                                                                              | ▪ Office and industrial provides jobs to local residents |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Category</th>
<th>Information to Provide</th>
<th>Evaluation Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Contribute to the Dynamic Sense Of Place envisioned in the Strategic Plan          | Development plans, traffic impact analysis       | ▪ Contribute to a mix of uses, both internal and external to the development  
▪ Promote multi-modal transportation access  
▪ Eliminate blight  
▪ Catalyze additional projects that benefit community residents |
| Make investments in human capital                                                 | List of tenants                                   | ▪ Create opportunities for job training  
▪ Create opportunities for youth and adult education |
| Promote community uses and activities                                             | Development plans, list of tenants                | Development and/or associated public improvements/amenities provides activities/uses/spaces for:  
**Targeted Groups**  
▪ Youth  
▪ Seniors  
▪ Working population  
▪ Disadvantaged populations  
**Community Uses/Activities**  
▪ Gathering  
▪ Recreating |
|                                                                                   |                                                  | **Demonstration of Physical and Aesthetic Benefit**                                                                                                                                                    |
|                                                                                   |                                                  | The final type of community benefit that is examined is a development’s physical and aesthetic contributions toward achieving the Dynamic Sense of Place envisioned in the Olivette Strategic Plan. Developers should demonstrate how projects support each of the following goals for developments in the City of Olivette. |
| Promote development with high design, aesthetic, and construction value, as envisioned by the Strategic Plan | Development plans                                | ▪ Support the Olivette preferred vision characterized by a dynamic sense of place  
▪ Contribute to a mix of uses, both internal and external to the development  
▪ Site buildings relative to public streets and neighboring properties to promote pedestrian orientation and to contribute to the character of urban design envisioned in the Strategic Plan  
▪ Contribute to an orderly and efficient development pattern  
▪ Incorporate multi-modal accessibility into site design  
▪ Comply with the zoning regulations and any adopted design overlay districts |
| Eliminate blight; redevelop brownfield sites                                      | Characterization of existing site conditions      | ▪ Projected impact of the proposed development on improving conditions at the project site and at neighboring properties |
| Improve the aesthetics and function of public facilities                          | Development plans                                | ▪ Proposed public improvements/amenities associated with the development  
▪ Projected impact of development on catalyzing additional public improvements and maintenance |

**V. OPTIMIZATION OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT**

Once it is determined that a proposed project’s projected benefits sufficiently outweigh its projected costs,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Category</th>
<th>Information to Provide</th>
<th>Evaluation Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the available economic development tools are evaluated to determine which tool(s) will optimize the public investment made in the project to address a funding gap.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine best economic development tool(s) to use to invest in the project which maximizes public benefit</td>
<td>Evidence of each evaluation item for each tool requested by a developer</td>
<td>▪ Analyze each tool to determine:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Its ability to close the funding gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Its efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Its fairness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Its impacts on other taxing jurisdictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− If using bonding, the security of the revenue source (e.g. any guarantees made)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− The City’s/developer’s ability to adhere to statutory requirements for the tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimize financing mechanism and timing</td>
<td>Demonstration of optimal financing mechanism and timing</td>
<td>▪ Determine optimal financing mechanism (e.g. reimbursement of developer costs once revenue is generated from the development or up-front funding of development/public improvement costs from bonds secured by the revenue source)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Determine timeframe of investment that provides the optimal benefit to both the City and the developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure the investment with developer guarantees</td>
<td>Commitment to guarantees</td>
<td>▪ Determine any developer guarantees, such as (but not limited to):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Incremental tax revenue (or taxable value of project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Revenue sharing with the City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target investment on expenditures with the greatest long-term impact</td>
<td>Pro forma which includes both private and public costs</td>
<td>▪ Prioritize investments in public infrastructure and amenities over private development costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborate with other jurisdictions</td>
<td>Any information requested by participating jurisdictions</td>
<td>▪ For projects with benefits that extend beyond Olivette, form partnerships with other jurisdictions to invest in projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use City resources most efficiently by prioritizing investments made throughout the community</td>
<td>Pro forma</td>
<td>▪ Target investments to projects that focus on one or more of the key issues identified in the Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Evaluate instituting a cap on individual investments, based on, but not limited to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Percentage of project costs (with greater flexibility for developments with unusual or extraordinary costs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− Developer’s equity in the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. PROJECT MONITORING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a project is constructed, both the developer and the City are obligated to meeting any commitments made in the Final Development Agreement, which include commitments that relate to the use of economic development tools. Such commitments may include, but not be limited to, the following:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>Financial reporting</td>
<td>▪ Wages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Types of jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Number of jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Targeted recipients of jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>Financial reporting</td>
<td>▪ Taxable property value/incremental property tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Category</td>
<td>Information to Provide</td>
<td>Evaluation Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental sales tax</td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Incremental sales tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental utility tax</td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Incremental utility tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue sharing</td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Revenue sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>Meet commitment</td>
<td>▪ Remain at new location for a specified amount of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity investment</td>
<td>Financial reporting</td>
<td>▪ Invest a minimum amount of developer’s equity into the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property maintenance</td>
<td>Meet commitment</td>
<td>▪ Standard of maintenance quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development ownership</td>
<td>Meet commitment</td>
<td>▪ Retain ownership of the development for a certain number of years/ until it is stabilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Executive Summary

The Eminent Domain Task Force (EDTF) was charged by the City Council with the responsibilities of:

1) reviewing state policies and local practices regarding the use of eminent domain for economic development purposes; and
2) recommending to the City of Olivette policies for adoption by the City Council regarding the proper and just use of eminent domain.

The EDTF reviewed various materials pertaining to national, state and local eminent domain issues. The EDTF invited two speakers with varied experience in the use of eminent domain. The EDTF also held a public forum for citizens and business owners to express their views on the use of eminent domain in Olivette.

The recommendations provided in this report reflect a fair and just approach to reasonable eminent domain policy for the City of Olivette. It is important to note that these recommendations are merely a tool for the City Council to consider as they craft the policies for the City.

The EDTF believes that the adoption of universal standards or formulae regarding the potential use of eminent domain are not workable, as each proposed development will have unique characteristics for land accumulation. Instead we are recommending a set of universal principles to guide the City Council as it considers any specific use of eminent domain.

Policies and decisions regarding the use of eminent domain should be consistent with the following principles:

1. The use of eminent domain should be a last resort.
2. The process of condemnation must be fair, and must be perceived by the community to be fair, in eminent domain proceedings.
3. The community as a whole, not an individual, should bear the cost of advancing the community good to be received from the proposed development.
4. City officials must be accountable to the public for their decisions.

The EDTF urges the City Council to carefully consider these recommendations as future policies regarding the use of eminent domain are prepared and adopted.
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City of Olivette Eminent Domain Task Force
Final Report

I. Introduction

Following a recent Supreme Court decision, the Olivette City Council felt it important to create an Eminent Domain Task Force ("EDTF"). The EDTF was charged with the following responsibilities:

1) review state policies and local practices regarding the use of eminent domain for economic development purposes; and
2) recommend to the City of Olivette policies for adoption by the City Council regarding the proper and just use of eminent domain.

II. The EDTF

The EDTF was originally composed of seven members, a member from the Planning and Community Design Commission, a member from the Economic Development Commission, a member from the Board of Adjustment, and four citizen members. The EDTF eventually was eventually reduced to six members upon the resignation of one of the members.

The EDTF members, while all Olivette residents, were diverse in professional background. Beginning February 23 and ending on August 7, the EDTF met every other Monday in an open session. The EDTF members were Richmond “Skip” Coburn, David Levy, Craig Cohen, Jeff Eisen, Mary Ellen “Mem” Movshin and Irl Scissors.

III. Resources and Research

At our disposal were a number of key resources including the City Attorney, Paul Martin, City Manager, Mike McDowell, and the Planning and Zoning Administrator Carlos Trejo. We also were given a variety of reference materials, including the final report created by the Governor-appointed Missouri Eminent Domain Task Force, and a copy of the recent Supreme Court decision, Kelo v. the City of New London. Staff also provided the EDTF copies of reports and resolutions regarding the use of eminent domain as prepared by other municipalities, including Ellisville, Maplewood, St. Charles and Creve Coeur.

One of our members conducted a survey of the surrounding communities asking various questions relating to their eminent domain policies and city structure. The results provided compelling statistical data that displayed how our neighbors compare and contrast with Olivette. The findings were posted on the City’s website and provided great value as we formulated our recommendations to the City Council.

We were also able to follow the Missouri General Assembly debate in Jefferson City concluding with the passage of HB1944, which contains a number of new provisions regarding the use of eminent domain. Governor Blunt signed HB1944 into law on July 12th. The new law will take affect on August 28th of this year. Enclosed in this report is a memorandum provided by City Attorney, Paul Martin, outlining its impact.

Also, on July 24th, a Missouri judge denied an initiative petition effort that attempted to amend the Missouri Constitution prohibiting the use of eminent domain for economic development purposes. The judge ruled the initiative petition was illegally disorganized and thus could not go forward.
IV. Guest Presenters

We heard from guest speakers to help us in our search for information. Ann Auer of the Missouri Growth Association and Jay Levitch, an attorney with expertise in the area of condemnation proceedings, both presented to the EDTF their views on the subject and the potential impacts of HB1944.

V. The EDTF Public Forum

Most importantly, we heard from the citizens. Each meeting was open to the public and afforded an opportunity for public comment. On May 22, the EDTF held an Eminent Domain Public Forum at the Olivette Community Center. There, we discussed our findings to that point, but were most anxious to hear the public’s view on the subject. We heard from many citizens with a wide variety of views. We greatly valued the opinions and concerns of Olivette’s citizens. We also took into account those individuals from other areas who witnessed the use of eminent domain firsthand.

VI. EDTF Analysis

The EDTF attempted to understand and apply all of the above-mentioned resources and find a balance between Constitutional, judicial, statutory, legislative and local authorities as they pertain to the use of eminent domain. The EDTF spent the last month crafting guiding principles resulting from the many concerns Olivette residents expressed at the open forum. Throughout these proceedings, the concerns of the home and business owners in Olivette were of utmost importance to the EDTF. The principles and recommendations provided in this report reflect a fair and just approach to reasonable use of eminent domain policy for the City of Olivette.

VII. Principles Recommended for the Purpose of Policy on the Use of Eminent Domain

The City Council's policies and decisions on the use of eminent domain should be consistent with the following principles:

The use of eminent domain should be a last resort.

- The City should require a reasonable good faith effort from the developer to acquire property on the open market.
- The burden of proof of good faith efforts with respect to notifications, property negotiations, and compensation offers rests on the developer.
- The City should require the developer to demonstrate a meaningful financial commitment to the project, such as posting a letter of credit or evidence of property acquisition.

The process of condemnation must be fair, and must be perceived by the community to be fair, in eminent domain proceedings.

- The City should proactively make every reasonable effort to ensure that every property owner and rental occupant is informed of his/her rights in an eminent domain proceeding and to ensure that those rights are being upheld.
- The City Council's deliberations and decision to use eminent domain for a development project should occur in public meetings as open as possible, in conformance with Missouri's sunshine laws.
- When considering a grant of eminent domain, the City Council should strive to ensure that the public is fully informed about, and has ample opportunity to comment on, the pending application.
• Appraisers involved in eminent domain proceedings should be disinterested parties without ties to the developer, to the home owner, or to any official concerned in the matter. Any appraiser used must disclose any conflicts of interest.

The community as a whole, not an individual, should bear the cost of advancing the community good to be received from the proposed development.

• The compensation should be sufficient to allow the property owner to buy a comparable structure/facility.
• Factors affecting comparability could include, but are not limited to, school district, location, and physical characteristics of the property.
• The City recognizes that “comparability” can vary from property to property.
• The City's position is that the burden of proving "just compensation" is on the developer.
• Cognizant of issues relating to residential and business renters, the City will make reasonable efforts to ensure that the rights of renters are adequately addressed in eminent domain condemnation proceedings.

City officials must be accountable to the public for their decisions.

• The City Council should retain the power of eminent domain, not delegate that power to a lesser city body or private entity.
• Any use of eminent domain must have a clear justification, such as consistency with the Strategic Plan, as it may be amended from time to time.
• The City should establish procedures to ensure that condemnations proceed expeditiously. "Holdout" property owners and other causes of delay will be considered on a case-by-case basis.
• The definition of economic development found in HB 1944 shall be used in determining whether eminent domain is granted by the City Council.
VIII. Appendix and supporting documents

The following documents were integral to the EDTF recommendations and have been attached hereto for reference:

City Council Task Force Policy
http://www.olivettemo.com/
Comments from the Public Forum held on May 22, 2006
http://www.olivettemo.com/
Survey of Local Municipalities regarding the Use of Eminent Domain
http://www.olivettemo.com/
House Bill 1944
House Bill No. 1944, 93rd General Assembly, truly agreed to and finally passed
http://www.house.mo.gov/bills061/billtxt/truly/HB1944T.HTM
City Attorney Outline Regrading HB 1944
Governor's Task Force
Executive Order 05-15
http://www.mo.gov/mo/eminentdomain/execorder.htm
Final Report and Recommendations of The Missouri Eminent Domain Task Force
http://www.mo.gov/mo/eminentdomain/finalrpt.pdf
Pre House Bill 1944 Documents
Missouri Revised Statutes, Chapter 523 Condemnation Proceedings
http://www.moga.mo.gov/statutes/chapters/chap523.htm
Memo dated March 15, 2006, prepared by City Attorney regarding Condemnation Procedure/Time-line
http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/23jun20051201/www.supremecourtus.gov/opinions/04pdf/04-108.pdf
Correspondence
Daniel M. Haybron, dated June 9, 2006
Jon Cunningham, dated May 18, 2006
(copies of both letters are available at http://www.olivettemo.com/)
Meeting Minutes
http://www.olivettemo.com/
To: Mike McDowell, City Manager  
Mike Gartenberg, Public Services Director  
Carlos Trejos, Planning and Zoning Administrator  

From: E. Scott Harrington, AICP, Principal Planner  

Date: July 19, 2006

Re: Review of City Land Development Regulations for Consistency with Draft Strategic Plan

I have reviewed the entire City Code and have the following observations and recommendations concerning the consistency of the City’s current land development regulations with the draft Strategic Plan.

General Observations and Recommendations

Code Organization

The City of Olivette Revised Code has 32 Chapters of which 17 contain one or more regulations dealing with land development or the establishment of some type of use. While it is not unusual to divide regulations between several chapters of a municipal code, the regulations in Olivette’s code are broken up to such an extent that it is very difficult to find them and understand all of the provisions that are applicable to a particular development project. Compounding this difficulty is the lack of any cross-references. For example, Sec. 280.150B.4. in the Zoning Code (Chapter 280 of the City Code) provides for setbacks and landscaping along parking lots but mentions nothing about requirements for fences or walls. However, Sec. 40.430 (in Chapter 40 Building Regulations) provides detailed requirements for parking lot fencing, and neither section references the other. This is just one of several examples that are detailed further in this memo.

Recommendation

To avoid these problems and provide for a more user-friendly approach, many communities have developed “unified development codes” where all regulations are located in a single chapter and have common definitions and sets of procedures. Whether or not Olivette elects to pursue such an approach, serious consideration should be given to consolidating regulations dealing with the same use/issue in the same chapter of the Code, preferably in the Zoning Code. Where consolidation is not possible, appropriate cross-references need to be provided to assist users of the Code.

Code Format

The City Code has very few tables and no figures or illustrations. Further, much of the Code is written in antiquated legalese. Coupled with the disjointed organization, the stilted and confusing language results in a very user-unfriendly Code. National retailers, industrial site selectors, commercial real estate brokers, developers and similar business and development professionals often “scout” communities through their websites and by other means prior to actually making direct contact with City staff. Often, they have a
specific project in mind and very specific questions about regulations that would apply to it. If they have to wade through a highly complex layout and confusing language, they may decide to just give up on the community and look somewhere else, and the City would never even know it was under consideration.

**Recommendation**
Land development regulations will always be complex, and some degree of code interpretation will always be necessary. However, part of being able to attract quality developers and businesses, as recommended in the Strategic Plan, is presenting the regulations and procedures in as simple a format as possible. Accordingly, any code revisions should look for opportunities to incorporate tables and/or illustrations wherever possible and to simplify text by using bullets and plain language.

**Procedures**
Various critical development review procedures are scattered throughout the Code. In particular, the site plan review process and community design review cover nearly the same types of development yet they are located in two different chapters and neither acknowledges the other. Further, the criteria for review are extremely general for both. For example, Sec. 55.040 ii. provides a list of factors to be considered by the PCDC in reviewing a project, but there are no standards for these factors other than they shall “be in the interest of the public health, welfare, safety and morals; and harmonious and consistent with the property in the surrounding area”. The result is to give the PCDC extreme latitude of discretion while providing little or no guidance or predictability to prospective developers.

As was discussed with the Project Management Team process, the efficiency, fairness and predictability of the development review process is just as critical, if not more so, than the regulations themselves in terms of whether a developer will pursue a project in the City. As currently constructed, at least in the text of the code, the City’s process is redundant, confusing and provides no predictability. Good, modern codes not only protect the community from undesirable types and forms of development, but they also describe and direct development to those uses and forms that are desired. In dealing with matters of design, a high measure of flexibility and “qualitative” standards will always be needed; however, the code needs to provide a much more detailed set of standards related to all of the various factors.

**Recommendation**
To correct these problems, the site plan review and community design processes should be combined into a single process. Further, this process and other procedures and administration should be contained in a single article within the Zoning Code that clearly spells out the roles and responsibilities in the development review process of the PCDC, BOA, City Council and Building Commissioner as well as clearly setting out the application submittal requirements and the specific review criteria that will be applied for each type of review. Further, provisions should be made to allow the staff to approve minor additions and changes. Basically, processes should be set up where the time, intensity and cost of the review process is equivalent to the potential impacts of the project.

Additional observations and comments about the community design and site plan review processes are provided under their respective chapters below.
Planned Unit Developments/Olive Boulevard Design Guidelines
Throughout this memo are numerous recommendations to include a higher level of specificity for various standards and criteria for all manner of development components. This is particularly true with development standards for properties located along Olive Blvd. That said, the City currently lacks a process whereby the totality of a development and its designs and benefits can be used as a means to alter the otherwise firm requirements of the district and code.

Recommendation
While it may appear to be a contradiction, I recommend the City pursue both a Planned Unit Development zoning designation and Olive Boulevard Design Guidelines. Better defining standards and review criteria will provide much needed guidance and direction to developers as noted above. The City has a good start on some of the components to be addressed along Olive, but needs to take a more comprehensive approach and to present the requirements in a more illustrated format. Further, the City needs a process like a Planned Unit Development that permits certain zoning standards to be adjusted, as appropriate, where the overall quality of the project and the benefits it provides more than offset the adjustments. As wide open as the site plan review and community design reviews are now, many zoning regulations cannot be adjusted without the approval of a variance, which establishes a very high standard for a “hardship” and cannot account for other tradeoffs being offered by a project.

Boards and Commissions
Chapter 20 of the City Code establishes numerous boards and commissions to advise the City Council on various matters. No less than 8 of these overlap with the recommendations of the Strategic Plan to create a Dynamic Sense of Place. These include the: Planning and Community Development Commission, Economic Development Commission, Parks and Beautification Commission, Cultural Affairs Committee, Community Affairs Commission, Tax Increment Finance Commission, Marketing Commission, and Residential Neighborhood Preservation and Redevelopment Advisory Committee. Clearly, the PCDC and EDC will continue to have a lead role in the implementation of the Plan; however, the role of these other commissions needs to be considered.

Recommendation
As issue and development Project Management Teams are established, consider the addition of members from one or more of these other commissions. In addition, where the focus of an issue PMT overlaps with the responsibilities of a commission, determine the formal role that the commission will have in reviewing the findings of the PMT and making recommendations on the same to the City Council.

Chapter-by-Chapter Observations and Recommendations
The following chapters of the Olivette Revised Code contain one or more regulations or standards dealing with land development or the establishment of a land use. Chapters not included on this list either do not contain land development regulations or were found to be generally acceptable as written. The following comments and recommendations are not intended to be an all inclusive list but rather a summary of the most significant issues that future Code amendments should address in order to achieve consistency between the City’s land development regulations and the Strategic Plan.

20 Administration
General: This Chapter establishes and assigns responsibilities to the various commissions discussed above. While no revisions are recommended for this chapter, the roles of each commission in overall Plan implementation need to be determined consistent with their assigned responsibilities.

30 Animals
Article II: This article provides regulations for non-commercial kennels, which are an accessory use to single family homes. The section should be relocated to the permitted residential uses section(s) of the Zoning Code or at least cross-referenced in the Zoning Code.

40 Building Regulations
Article I: The City’s current building code is the old BOCA code. BOCA and the other national/regional code organizations have since merged into the ICC (International Code Conference) which publishes the International Building Code, the International Residential Code for one- and two-family dwellings, and the International Building Reuse Code. Although other codes are in use throughout the country, the ICC is quickly becoming the singular standard. As a result, developers and business owners across the country have familiarity with the Code and thus, would quickly understand the requirements in Olivette. Accordingly, Olivette should investigate adoption of the ICC codes. Part of this investigation should be a review of the codes adopted in other communities within the region as most developers are likely to be located in or very familiar with the St. Louis area.

Article III: This article deals with height requirements for various structures. Height regulations are typically part of zoning regulations. Accordingly, the entire article should be relocated to the Zoning Code.

Article V: This article provides standards for fencing, including fencing around parking lots as noted previously. The entire article should be moved to the Zoning Code.

Article VII: This article provides standards for communication towers. As defined in the article, communication towers are a type of land use and require review by the PCDC prior to the issuance of building permits. Accordingly, the entire article should be moved to the Zoning Code.

50 Business and Trades
General: This chapter appears to overlap with Chapter 120 License and Taxes, but just deals with different businesses. Consideration should be given to consolidating the two.

Articles III, IV, and VII (self-service laundries and dry cleaners, trampoline centers, and public dance halls): These are all land uses and many of the regulations contained in these articles deal with zoning-type matters such as setbacks, screening, and hours of operation. While the business licensing requirements should remain in this chapter, the zoning regulations should be moved to the Zoning Code, with a cross-reference back to this chapter to indicate that a business license is required. Further, some of these use definitions are antiquated and should be considered for deletion altogether.
Article VI: This article prohibits “massage businesses” but does not define this use. Therapeutic massage performed by a licensed massage therapist is an integral part of many health and wellness center services as well as many hair salons and spas – uses which would be appropriate for location along Olive Blvd. The definition of “massage business” should be clarified to differentiate therapeutic massage from “adult entertainment” uses.

55 Community Design

Article I: As noted previously, the development proposals required to be reviewed under this article/chapter are very similar to those that require a site plan review under Article IX of the Zoning Code. Accordingly, the two review processes should be combined with one set of procedures and criteria and should be located in the Zoning Code.

Article II – Building Design Standards: Unlike the highly general standards contained in Article I of this chapter and the site plan review section of the Zoning Code, the building design standards are described in both specific and qualitative terms. By and large, the standards that are included are fine as written and consistent with the recommendations of the Strategic Plan. However, the following should be considered as part of the Olive Boulevard design guidelines study:

- A review of the existing material standards;
- The addition of standards/guidelines for building orientation, entries, storefronts, fenestration and articulation; and
- The addition of site design standards/guidelines for parking areas, vehicular and pedestrian circulation, public gathering areas and site amenities, signage, and integration/buffering of uses within and adjacent to the project.

Article II – Landscaping Standards: Like the building design standards, the landscape standards are well-defined and generally appropriate and consistent with the recommendations of the Strategic Plan. The major exception to this is the requirement for a 20-foot landscape strip along the entire length of Olive Blvd. As described and shown in the Plan, there are several locations and uses where the preferred building location is immediately adjacent to the Olive Blvd. right-of-way. Accordingly, the landscape and setback standards should be revisited either separately or as part of Olive Blvd. design guidelines study.

70 Excavations and Grading

General: These regulations are fine as a separate chapter from the Zoning Code, but might be better located in Chapter 40 Building Regulations. In addition, the point at which a grading permit is issued relative to zoning approvals needs to be clarified. For example, can a grading permit be issued and work started prior to zoning approval of the ultimate development project? Further, consideration should be given to the protection/preservation of specimen trees.

80 Floodplain Management
General: This chapter is redundant with Article XXI of the Zoning Code. The two should be combined and located in one place or the other. If not located in the Zoning Code, appropriate cross-references should be provided.

85  Forestry
General: The site plan review and community design review processes both include improvements/landscaping within the adjacent rights-of-way. Accordingly, the standards of this chapter should be cross-referenced.

90  Hazardous Materials and Processes
Article I: This article contains definitions and standards relating to dry cleaning establishments. Most of these exceed those typically found with zoning regulations, but they certainly have a significant impact on the design of the sites and buildings for these uses. Accordingly, these standards can be maintained in a separate chapter, but appropriate cross-references need to be added to the Zoning Code. Better yet, the whole article should be relocated to Chapter 50 Business and Trades as that would be a more logical location for someone to look for it.

Article IV: This entire article dealing with self-service gas stations also should be relocated to Chapter 150 Motor Fuel Dealers, or both this article and that chapter moved to Chapter 50 Business and Trades. Either way these provisions should be cross-referenced in the Zoning Code.

100  Health and Safety
Article II: The “visibility triangle” standards for street corners and driveways should be moved to the Zoning Code or Chapter 230 Streets. Regardless, they should be specifically referenced in all regulations dealing with landscaping and other improvements and obstructions permitted in rights-of-way and setbacks.

Article IV: These regulations dealing with emissions and impacts from industrial operations typically appear in zoning codes as “performance standards”. Accordingly, they should be relocated to the Zoning Code or at least cross-referenced in the standards for the LID-1 District.

110  Housing
Article V: This article seems to address garage and estate sales, which are really accessory uses to residential dwelling units. Accordingly, they should be relocated to the Zoning Code.

120  License and Taxes
General: Cross-references should be made in the Zoning Code for those uses that are covered by this Chapter. Further, there appears to be overlap between this chapter and Chapter 50 Business and Trades except that they deal with different uses. Consideration should be given to consolidating the two.
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150  Motor Fuel Dealers
General: Combine with Article IV of Chapter 90 and consider moving both to Chapter 50 Business and Trades.

190  Private Streets, Roads and Lanes
General: This chapter should cross-reference Chapter 240 Subdivisions for the design and construction of private streets.

210  Restaurants, Taverns and Other Eating and Drinking Places
General: Consideration should be given to moving the entire chapter to Chapter 50 Business and Trades. The definition of “restaurant” here does not match that in the Zoning Code. Further, the chapter provides regulations for temporary food vendors but the Zoning Code makes no provisions for allowing such uses. Cross references in the Zoning Code should be provided as appropriate.

225  Signs and Advertising
Content Regulations: This chapter contains several regulations pertaining to the “content” of the message permitted on a sign, such as allowing only real estate signs in residential areas. Evolving case law throughout the country suggests that content regulations should be avoided where ever possible and the regulations focused strictly on the number, type, size, and location of signs with no reference to what the signs say.

Olive Blvd. Standards: As part of the Olive Blvd. design guidelines study, all of the sign standards should be revisited, particularly the following:
- Sec. 225.270 which prohibits awning signs, “A-Frame” or sandwich board type signs and the use of neon to outline buildings;
- Sec. 225.210 which is entitled “Illumination” but provides no standards for internal or external lighting of signs and which type of lighting is permissible or desired for which locations and types of signs;
- Sec. 225.310 which regulates signs in the POR district and prohibits “non-illuminating” signs and projecting signs but provides no maximum height for monument signs; and
- Sec. 225.320 which regulates signs in the COR district and prohibits window signs and projecting signs. Further, the provisions relating to shopping centers do not address modern developments that have multiple buildings (often on multiple lots) as part of a single development project and need to consolidate signage onto a single freestanding sign.

240  Subdivisions
General: This Chapter appears to have been updated recently and accordingly, is in good shape. Depending on the outcome of the Olive Blvd. design guidelines study, some specific aspects may need to be revised, but most of the standards, particularly for street widths, are consistent with the Strategic Plan and the character and quality of development called for therein. Some items to consider for further refinement include:
- A landscape plan is required but no standards are provided. Presumably, the landscape plan would be only for those areas to be dedicated to the City, such as street rights-of-
way and parks, but the text doesn’t say that. Further, there is no reference to Chapter 85 Forestry and no general standards about the types of species or the location of trees.

- The street design standards appear to apply to both private and public streets and are generally very good standards. However, the difference between a “private street” and “drive aisle or driveway” within a development isn’t clear and when one is required/preferred over the other. This should be clarified once the site development standards are more clearly defined as part of the Olive Blvd. design guidelines study.

- Similar to the above, it’s unclear how the “street frontage” requirement can be met by a development that has multiple lots with common access, circulation, and parking. Certainly, land-locked parcels without access need to be prohibited, but it is very common today to have developments with separately platted “outparcels” that obtain access via easements over adjoining parcels.

250 Taxicabs and Taxicab Drivers
General: Consideration should be given to consolidating this as part of Chapters 50 or 120.

260 Tourist Camps
General: This is an antiquated chapter and should be removed. I can find nowhere in the Zoning Code where such a use is permitted. None currently exist in the community, nor is one likely to. Further, the RV storage regulations in Sec. 260.030 conflict with those in Sec. 270.318 of Chapter 270 Traffic.

270 Traffic
Parking: Sec. 270.238 (parking on lawns) should be moved to the off-street parking and loading regulations found in Article XII of the Zoning Code as should the RV parking regulations in Sec. 270.318.

280 Zoning
Article I - Definitions: The list of definitions is very limited and probably will need to be expanded as the various provisions discussed above are relocated into the Zoning Code.

Article V: The PRO District appears to be a creative approach to providing a two-tiered flexible district that permits somewhat intensive development adjacent to Olive Blvd. while limiting development and providing buffering in areas adjacent to single family areas. The general concept is a good one, but the structure of text is extremely difficult to follow and would benefit greatly from one or more tables and illustrations. Although the approach is creative and provides some flexibility, there are several “hard and fast” standards for building heights, setbacks, coverage and density with strict limits on variances. While most of the standards appear to be consistent with the recommendations in the Strategic Plan, the Olive Blvd design guideline study should evaluate all of them. Further, as noted at the beginning of this memo, consideration should be given to a site-specific zoning approval process, such as a Planned Unit Development, where various standards can be altered in exchange or the provision of better designs and greater community benefits.
Article VI: The COR District also appears to be a creative approach to flexible site design and development along Olive Boulevard. However, as part of the Olive Blvd. design guideline study, the following should be revisited:

- Sec. 280.064 – The list of permitted uses is antiquated and very specific but not very inclusive of the type of modern, multiple and mixed uses envisioned in the Strategic Plan. This is further complicated by Sec. 280.210 which states that any use not specifically listed is prohibited, making interpretations difficult. A more modern list of uses with broader definitions should be developed that specifically includes multiple family residential dwellings.
- Sec. 280.066B. – The height limit is 35 feet, except as may be permitted under a site plan review. This is too open ended and should be more clearly defined;
- Sec. 280.066 C. – The 35-foot setback along Olive Blvd. needs to be revised to allow buildings to be pulled up closer to the street; and
- Sec. 280.066 – Provides no standards for lot coverage, floor area ratio, landscape areas, etc. Some of these are covered in Chapter 55, but it is very confusing how those standards work with those in the district. As discussed previously, it’s important that the code provide good direction and guidance about what is expected. While the lack of any standards provides for a great deal of flexibility, it also is of no help to a developer in trying to design a project.

Article VII: The standards in the LID-1 district are generally consistent with the recommendations of the Strategic Plan for the industrial parks, but the following should be reconsidered once the market “niches” for the parks are better defined:

- Permitted Uses – The lists of permitted and special uses should be expanded to allow a greater variety of uses as part of a mixed-use development and to include any niche uses that the code doesn’t currently address. Further, the use names and definitions should be consistent with the COR and PRO districts so that the same use, where permitted in more than one district, is named and defined the same way, something the current code fails to do.
- Bulk Standards – The height limit may need to be revisited to accommodate a large office building. Further, standards should be provided for lot coverage, floor area ratio, landscape areas, etc.

Article IX: As noted at the beginning of this memo, the site plan review process should be combined with the community design process and more specific standards developed for the items contained in Sec. 280.100.

Article X: The special use “criteria” for review and approval simply defer to the site plan review section. While the items covered under the site plan review are appropriate for a special use review, they lack specific standards as discussed above. Further, there are no specific criteria that focus on the use itself and its appropriateness for the given the location. Typically, a “site plan review” is applied to uses that are permitted but where a review of the site design is needed to ensure compliance with various quantitative and qualitative standards. A “special use” review, on the other hand, is first concerned about the appropriateness of the use itself in the location for which it is proposed. As already stated
in the code, these uses “are not permitted except as otherwise determined to be suitable and compatible”. Another way to think of it is that the “burden of proof” for a site plan review ought to fall on the City in the event of denial. These are uses that are permitted, so the City should demonstrate specifically why it’s not appropriate as proposed. With a special use, that burden is shifted to the applicant. The code essentially says, ‘This use is not permitted, but we’ll let you make a case about why we should make an exception for you.’ The current text of the code does not clearly define these differences and should be revised accordingly.

Article XI: Like many codes of this era, this article tends to blend nonconforming uses and structures and treat them the same even though they are very different. Revisions should be made to differentiate these and the regulations that apply to each as well as to nonconforming lots.

Article XII: The parking standards in this article are generally fine as written but the following should be reconsidered as part of the Olive Blvd. design guidelines study:

- Sec. 280.144 D. – Provisions should be made to allow shared parking for uses that have different peak periods. Also, as transit improvements come on-line, some of the parking requirements should be reduced;
- Sec. 280.148 – The list of uses should match that used within the zoning districts;
- Sec. 280.150 B. – The 21-foot aisle width is very narrow and may need to be increased to 24 feet;
- Sec. 280.150 B.(3) – The lighting standards are completely inadequate and do not refer to the more detailed standards contained in Chapter 55; and
- Sec. 280.150 B.(4) – The landscape standards don’t reference the fence requirements for parking areas in Sec. 40.430 nor the standards in Chapter 55. All of these should be combined into a single set of standards in a separate landscaping article of the Zoning Code.

Procedures: As noted previously, an entirely separate procedures and administration article should be created in the Zoning Code that clearly identifies the roles and responsibilities of the PCDC, BOA, City Council, and Building Commissioner as well as the application submittal and review procedures and criteria.

With the adoption of the Strategic Plan and the new forms of development described within it, the time is ripe for Olivette to undertake a significant code revision process to address the issues noted above. Whether through the development of a unified code, or just a better organization and format of the current code, significant revisions are necessary to achieve consistency between the land development regulations and recommendations of Strategic Plan.
The following have been identified as the priority action items for implementation of the Olivette Strategic Comprehensive Plan. Although the planning timeframe for the Comprehensive Plan is five to ten years and beyond, the priority action items listed below are the steps to be taken in the next eighteen months to achieve the longer term goals identified in the Plan. Accordingly, this list is intended to be revised at least once every six months.

Each of the priority actions was selected because it meets one or more of the following criteria:
- Has identified partners who have indicated a willingness to participate;
- Has already been started, is making progress, and has established some momentum; or
- Has a critical element of timeliness that is out of the City of Olivette’s control.

In addition, each of these priority actions result in either:
- Adoption of policies and procedures necessary for other implementation activities to be undertaken;
- Identification of additional studies or information necessary to develop more detailed implementation strategies and steps; or
- Implementation of catalytic private redevelopment or public improvements that will accelerate other redevelopment efforts recommended in the Comprehensive Plan.

The priority action items are organized around the seven Key Issues identified in the Comprehensive Plan. For each action item, the targeted achievable is listed along with the specific steps required to meet the achieveable, the lead responsible party, and a time schedule for initiation and completion. All of the actions listed are of significant importance, but those shown to begin immediately are generally the highest priorities.

### Targeted Achievable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Lead Responsibility</th>
<th>Quarterly Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adopted policy and streamlined project management process that enables integration of project use and design review with the use of economic development tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Adopt use of process as policy</td>
<td>PLDC, EDC &amp; Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a. Recommend use of process as City policy</td>
<td>PLDC, EDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b. Adopt use of process as City policy</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Develop a checklist of basic criteria and practices for financial evaluations of projects requesting City assistance</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a. Develop checklist</td>
<td>Vandewealle &amp; Associates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b. Review and adopt use of checklist in evaluating projects as EDC policy</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Develop a policy directing the City’s use of eminent domain</td>
<td>EDTF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a. Develop policy</td>
<td>EDTF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b. Review and recommend policy</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c. Review and adopt policy</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Prepare “brochure” to describe the adopted project management process and general policies to developers and businesses</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a. Design and reproduce brochure</td>
<td>EDC, City staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b. Distribute brochure to all developers and businesses that approach the City with a proposed project as well as the SLCEC.</td>
<td>EDC, City staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Active public involvement in redevelopment implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Lead Responsibility</th>
<th>Quarterly Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify funding sources to support the City’s pursuit of economic development activities</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a. Examine use of local option economic development sales tax</td>
<td>EDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b. Determine availability of federal funding due to the effects on the community of the Overland federal government records center being affected by BRAC</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c. Identify additional potential funding sources and determine a strategy for seeking out sources</td>
<td>EDC, City staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Issue 1: Community Image / Relations

1. Continue monitoring/ coordination with MoDOT and Greenways Commission concerning construction schedule and design refinements
Director of Public Services
Ongoing

2. Establish an issue-based I-170 PMT
City Council

3. Obtain control of excess MoDOT right-of-way
City staff

4. Obtain control of excess MoDOT right-of-way
City staff & Mayor

5. Authorize offer to MoDOT
City Council

6. Execute accepted offer
City staff

7. Meet with SLCEC to gain County’s commitment to assist in facilitating a joint interchange plan
City staff

8. Meet jointly with the SLCEC and University City to present Olivette’s completed Strategic Plan and goals for the I-170 interchange, including the development of a joint interchange plan
City Council

9. Gain commitment from University City to develop the joint interchange plan
City Council

10. Execute written agreement among Olivette, County, and University City establishing funding commitments, timelines, and expectations for joint interchange plan
City Council

11. Conduct interchange planning process as agreed with University City and County or independently if agreement is not reached
City Council

12. Develop the scope (both in terms of geography and content) of the plan
City Council

13. Identify and hire any consultant(s) that will be involved in plan
City Council

14. Conduct planning process, including active involvement of the Hilltop Neighborhood
City Council

15. Adopt plan
City Council

16. Develop a project management process that enables integration of project use and design review with the use of economic development tools
City Council

17. Develop a checklist of basic criteria and practices for financial evaluations of projects requesting City assistance
City Council

18. Review and adopt use of checklist in evaluating projects as EDC policy
City Council

19. Develop a policy directing the City’s use of eminent domain
City Council

20. Review and recommend policy
City Council

21. Review and adopt policy
City Council

22. Design and reproduce brochure
City Council

23. Distribute brochure to all developers and businesses that approach the City with a proposed project as well as the SLCEC. | EDC, City staff | | |

### Key Issue 2: I-170 Interchange Improvements

1. Continue monitoring/ coordination with MoDOT and Greenways Commission concerning construction schedule and design refinements
Director of Public Services
Ongoing

2. Establish an issue-based I-170 PMT
City Council

3. Meet jointly with the SLCEC and University City to present Olivette’s completed Strategic Plan and goals for the I-170 interchange, including the development of a joint interchange plan
City Council

4. Gain commitment from University City to develop the joint interchange plan
City Council

5. Execute written agreement among Olivette, County, and University City establishing funding commitments, timelines, and expectations for joint interchange plan
City Council

6. Conduct interchange planning process as agreed with University City and County or independently if agreement is not reached
City Council

7. Develop the scope (both in terms of geography and content) of the plan
City Council

8. Identify and hire any consultant(s) that will be involved in plan
City Council

9. Conduct planning process, including active involvement of the Hilltop Neighborhood
City Council

10. Adopt plan
City Council
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue 4: Industrial Parks</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Lead Responsibility</th>
<th>Quarterly Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agreement with industrial park property owners on ownership of infrastructure and responsibility/funding of services</td>
<td>Establish an issue-based Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitate the improvement of infrastructure and common area maintenance</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet with property owners in all four industrial parks to: (a) review infrastructure and common area maintenance needs and (b) discuss potential options for improving maintenance including transferring ownership to the City in exchange for creation of a neighborhood improvement district</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop a plan for addressing identified industrial park maintenance needs</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Begin plan implementation</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Updated industrial parks which integrate mixed uses where appropriate and are marketed as serving a clearly identified niche</td>
<td>Meet with SLCEC to discuss how best to position industrial parks for life and plant sciences-related industries and generally how to improve occupancy</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conduct a survey to: (a) inventory all existing sites and buildings, current occupants and occupancy rates, lease rates, and availability of space and (b) determine future plans and potential expansion needs of existing occupants</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop brochure of programs available for business retention and expansion</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design and reproduce brochure</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distribute brochure to all existing businesses, local Realtors, and SLCEC</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet with owners and Realtors of available space to assess market conditions and coordinate marketing efforts</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undertake other recruitment and implementation activities as per adopted strategy</td>
<td>Industrial Parks PMT</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Issue 3: Olive Boulevard**

**Olive Boulevard beautification and streetscape plan**

- Revise development regulations to achieve consistency with and design concepts identified in Strategic Plan
- Develop the scope (both in terms of geography and content) of the design standards
- Develop building and site design standards for Olive Boulevard
- Investigate potential funding sources for Olive Boulevard beautification
- Identify funding sources
- Determine which funding sources to seek and when
- Initiate funded projects

**Key Issue 2: Improving transportation and economic connectivity with government records center and surrounding development in Overland**

- Capitalize on opportunities to increase connectivity between Olivette and Overland
- Meet with SLCEC to discuss: (a) availability of federal funding due to effects of BRAC on the government records center and (b) potential for developing a street connection between the center and Olive Boulevard
- Work to access/apply for BRAC funds
- Develop a plan for a roadway between Overland and Olive Boulevard

**Targeted Achievable Objectives**

- Identify opportunities for a catalytic redevelopment project
- Continue discussions with SLCEC concerning potential development/business prospects for interchange area
- Based on results of plan, begin active recruitment of identified development types
- When a prospect formally approaches Olivette with a proposal, establish a project-based PMT
- Meet with SLCEC to discuss: (a) availability of federal funding due to effects of BRAC on the government records center and (b) potential for developing a street connection between the center and Olive Boulevard
- Work to access/apply for BRAC funds
- Develop a plan for a roadway between Overland and Olive Boulevard

**Quarterly Timeline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>2nd</th>
<th>3rd</th>
<th>4th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted Achievable</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Lead Responsibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Issue 5: Metrolink</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit opportunities identified by working with Metrolink, St. Louis County, and University City</td>
<td>1 Establish an issue-based Transportation PMT</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Identify transit (rail, bus, park and ride) opportunities</td>
<td>Transportation PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2a Meet with Metrolink and county to discuss future opportunities</td>
<td>Transportation PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Develop strategy to facilitate identified transit opportunities</td>
<td>Transportation PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Implement transit strategy</td>
<td>Transportation PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Issue 6: Saints Olivette</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership leveraged for Olive Boulevard redevelopment initiative</td>
<td>1 Investigate City’s ability to transfer park and recreation deed restriction from Saints Olivette to another property</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Establish an issue-based Saints Olivette PMT, which includes a member of the Parks and Beautification Commission</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Create a redevelopment implementation strategy which includes an evaluation of the potential to swap Saints Olivette with land on Olive Boulevard</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Issue 7: Wi-Fi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless infrastructure developed using existing telecommunications infrastructure</td>
<td>1 Establish an issue-based Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 Understand existing telecommunication infrastructure by utilities and businesses</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2a Meet with local telecom and power companies (concurrent with 3a)</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Understand future needs and plans for telecommunications infrastructure</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3a Meet with local telecom and power companies (concurrent with 2a)</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b Meet with existing &quot;wired&quot; businesses (SBC, US Bank, Gannett)</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3c Meet with SLCEC</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Identify programs/funding sources to facilitate extension of telecommunications infrastructure</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4a Research programs/funding sources</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4b Meet with SLCEC to determine programs available in St. Louis County</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Develop strategy to improve internet access throughout the community</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5a Identify accessibility gaps</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5b Research model strategies</td>
<td>City staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5c Develop strategy</td>
<td>Telecommunications PMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>